Unlocking the Inelastic Dark Matter window with Vector Mediators

Ana Luisa Foguel

in collaboration with Peter Reimitz and Renata Z. Funchal

based on: arxiv:2410.00881

J. High Energ. Phys. **2025**, 1 (2025)

afoguel@usp.br

University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

IFT/UAM, Madrid, Spain

DarkTools@Torino

16 June 2025



IFUSP Instituto de Física da USP

FAPESP

Outline

1. Introduction and Motivations
2. Inelastic Dark Matter
   - ↳ Theoretical framework
   - ↳ Decay Rates
3. Relic Density Computation
4. ReD-DeLiVeR code
5. Bounds
6. Conclusions



Introduction and Motivations

Introduction and Motivations



DM ??





Introduction and Motivations

The Standard Model



DM ??

where is New Physics?
where is DM???









Introduction and Motivations

The Standard Model



DM ??

where is New Physics?
where is DM???





and what about...
neutrino masses?
BAU? Hierarchy problem?
Strong CP?



Introduction and Motivations

Where can we search for BSM signals?



Introduction and Motivations

Where can we search for BSM signals?



Introduction and Motivations

Where can we search for BSM signals?



Introduction and Motivations

Where can we search for BSM signals?



Introduction and Motivations

Where can we search for BSM signals?



Introduction and Motivations

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?



Introduction and Motivations

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?



Suppose we have particles χ and $\bar{\chi}$ in the dark sector

↪ natural possibility: couple to the gauge bosons of weak interactions



Introduction and Motivations

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?



Suppose we have particles χ and $\bar{\chi}$ in the dark sector

↪ natural possibility: couple to the gauge bosons of weak interactions



However… $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim \frac{m_\chi^2}{m_Z^4}$

which means that lowering the DM mass decreases the thermal-average cross section

→ DM is overproduced!

Remember!



Introduction and Motivations

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?



Suppose we have particles χ and $\bar{\chi}$ in the dark sector

↪ natural possibility: couple to the gauge bosons of weak interactions



However… $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim \frac{m_\chi^2}{m_Z^4}$

which means that lowering the DM mass decreases the thermal-average cross section

→ DM is overproduced!

Lee-Weinberg bound

$$m_\chi \gtrsim 2\,\mathrm{GeV}$$

Introduction and Motivations

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?



Lee-Weinberg bound

$$m_\chi \gtrsim 2\,\mathrm{GeV}$$

$\Rightarrow$ So, how can we explore sub-GeV dark sectors?

Introduction and Motivations

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?

Lee-Weinberg bound

$$m_\chi \gtrsim 2\,\mathrm{GeV}$$

$\Rightarrow$ So, how can we explore sub-GeV dark sectors?

↪ solution: inclusion of new light dark sector mediator states!

These light mediators will act as portals between the dark sector and the SM.

Introduction and Motivations · Hidden Portals

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?





Vector Portal

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KM}} = \epsilon \hat{Z}_{Q\mu\nu} \hat{B}^{\mu\nu}$$

dark boson

Scalar Portal

$$V(H,S) \supset \kappa |H|^2 |S|^2$$

dark Higgs

Neutrino Portal

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -y^{\alpha} L_{\alpha} H N + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

Heavy Neutral Lepton

ALP Portal

axion-like-particle

$a \longrightarrow$ photons, leptons, ...

Introduction and Motivations · Hidden Portals

How can we search for light particle BSM signals?



Standard Model

PORTAL

Dark Sector

Inelastic Dark Matter



...

Vector Portal

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KM}} = \epsilon \hat{Z}_{Q\mu\nu} \hat{B}^{\mu\nu}$$

dark boson

Scalar Portal

$$V(H,S) \supset \kappa |H|^2 |S|^2$$

dark Higgs

Neutrino Portal

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -y^{\alpha} L_{\alpha} H N + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

Heavy Neutral Lepton

ALP Portal

axion-like-particle

$a \longrightarrow$ photons, leptons, ...

Inelastic Dark Matter



Theoretical Framework

Dark Sector

- two-component Weyl fermions forming a Dirac pair $\Rightarrow$ pseudo-Dirac pair

- new vector mediator coming from a spontaneously broken $\mathrm{U}(1)_\mathrm{Q}$ abelian gauge symmetry



From the diagonalization of the fermion mass terms

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\underbrace{m_D \psi_1 \psi_2}_{\text{Dirac}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(\delta_1\,\psi_1^2 + \delta_2\,\psi_2^2)}_{\text{Majorana}} + \text{h.c.}\,, \qquad \text{with} \quad \delta_{1,2} \ll m_D$$

$\Rightarrow$ mass eigenstates

$$\chi_1 \simeq \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 - \psi_2),$$

$$\chi_2 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2)\,,$$

pseudo-Dirac pair with nearly degenerate masses

$$m_{1,2} \simeq m_D \mp \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \quad \Rightarrow$$

Mass splitting

$$\Delta := \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_1} = \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{m_1} < 1$$

Inelastic Dark Matter



Theoretical Framework

Dark Sector

- two-component Weyl fermions forming a Dirac pair $\Rightarrow$ pseudo-Dirac pair: χ_1 (Dark Matter), χ_2
- new vector mediator coming from a spontaneously broken $\mathrm{U}(1)_\mathrm{Q}$ abelian gauge symmetry: Z_Q

From the diagonalization of the fermion mass terms

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\underbrace{m_D \psi_1 \psi_2}_{\text{Dirac}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(\delta_1\,\psi_1^2 + \delta_2\,\psi_2^2)}_{\text{Majorana}} + \text{h.c.}\,, \qquad \text{with} \quad \delta_{1,2} \ll m_D$$

$\Rightarrow$ mass eigenstates

$$\chi_1 \simeq \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 - \psi_2),$$

$$\chi_2 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2)\,,$$

pseudo-Dirac pair with nearly degenerate masses

$$m_{1,2} \simeq m_D \mp \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \quad \Rightarrow$$

Mass splitting

$$\Delta := \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_1} = \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{m_1} < 1$$

Inelastic Dark Matter

Theoretical Framework

The interaction term with the mediator turns to be off-diagonal



$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_D Z_{Q\mu}(\psi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{D}} = \frac{i}{2} g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

Inelastic Dark Matter

Theoretical Framework

The interaction term with the mediator turns to be off-diagonal



$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_D Z_{Q\mu}(\psi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{D}} = \frac{i}{2} g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

Motivations

↳ **Thermal relics:** DM abundance can be computed via thermal freeze-out.

Inelastic Dark Matter

Theoretical Framework

The interaction term with the mediator turns to be off-diagonal



$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_D Z_{Q\mu}(\psi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{D}} = \frac{i}{2} g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

Motivations

↪ **Thermal relics:** DM abundance can be computed via thermal freeze-out.

↪ **Evades indirect and direct detection experimental limits**

The heavier state χ_2 can decay into the DM candidate χ_1, depleting its abundance

⇒ no present-day population of heavier states to co-annihilate with the DM → avoid indirect detection signals

⇒ similarly, direct detection signals depend on up-scatter of the light state, which is kinematically suppressed

Inelastic Dark Matter

Theoretical Framework

The interaction term with the mediator turns to be off-diagonal



$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_D Z_{Q\mu}(\psi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{D}} = \frac{i}{2} g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

Motivations

↪ **Thermal relics:** DM abundance can be computed via thermal freeze-out.

↪ **Evades indirect and direct detection experimental limits**

The heavier state χ_2 can decay into the DM candidate χ_1, depleting its abundance

⇒ no present-day population of heavier states to co-annihilate with the DM → avoid indirect detection signals

⇒ similarly, direct detection signals depend on up-scatter of the light state, which is kinematically suppressed

↪ **Evades stringent CMB limits**

Since the abundance of χ_2 is already reduced during recombination era, coannihilations that would inject energy into the plasma are suppressed.

Inelastic Dark Matter

Theoretical Framework

The interaction term with the mediator turns to be off-diagonal



$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_D Z_{Q\mu}(\psi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{D}} = \frac{i}{2} g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

What's new?

- In the literature: only considered the minimal scenario with a secluded dark photon portal Z_D

  However… this case has been nearly completely ruled out by experimental limits…





Inelastic Dark Matter

Theoretical Framework

The interaction term with the mediator turns to be off-diagonal



$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_D Z_{Q\mu}(\psi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{\rm D} = \frac{i}{2} g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

What's new?

- This work: we consider the case of generic charges for the $U(1)_Q$ group

⇓

vector mediator also couples to the SM via direct terms depending on the choice of charge

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{\rm SM} = e\epsilon J_{\rm em}^\mu Z_{Q\mu} - g_Q J_Q^\mu Z_{Q\mu}$$

$$J_Q^\mu = \sum_f q_Q^f \, \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f + \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} q_Q^{\nu_\ell} \, \bar{\nu}_\ell \gamma^\mu P_L \nu_\ell,$$

$$Q = x_B B - x_e L_e - x_\mu L_\mu - x_\tau L_\tau$$

x_B	x_e	x_μ	x_τ	Q	q_Q^f quarks	e/ν_e	μ/ν_μ	τ/ν_τ
1	1	1	1	$B-L$	$\frac{1}{3}$	-1	-1	-1
1	0	0	3	$B-3L_\tau$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	-3
1	0	0	0	B	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	0
0	0	-1	1	$L_\mu - L_\tau$	0	0	1	-1

Inelastic Dark Matter

Theoretical Framework

The interaction term with the mediator turns to be off-diagonal



$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_D Z_{Q\mu}(\psi_1^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{\rm D} = \frac{i}{2} g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

What's new?

- This work: we consider the case of generic charges for the $U(1)_Q$ group

⇓

vector mediator also couples to the SM via direct terms depending on the choice of charge

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{\rm SM} = e\epsilon J_{\rm em}^\mu Z_{Q\mu} - g_Q J_Q^\mu Z_{Q\mu}$$

$$J_Q^\mu = \sum_f q_Q^f \, \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f + \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} q_Q^{\nu_\ell} \, \bar{\nu}_\ell \gamma^\mu P_L \nu_\ell,$$

ALF, P. Reimitz, R.Z. Funchal
arXiv:2410.00881 **[hep-ph]**

iDM$_Q$ models

free parameters: m_{Z_Q}, R, Δ, g_Q, α_D

$$Q = x_B B - x_e L_e - x_\mu L_\mu - x_\tau L_\tau$$

x_B	x_e	x_μ	x_τ	Q	q_Q^f quarks	e/ν_e	μ/ν_μ	τ/ν_τ
1	1	1	1	$B-L$	$\frac{1}{3}$	-1	-1	-1
1	0	0	3	$B-3L_\tau$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	-3
1	0	0	0	B	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	0
0	0	-1	1	$L_\mu - L_\tau$	0	0	1	-1

Inelastic Dark Matter · Decay Rates

↳ Hierarchy $m_{Z_Q} > m_1 + m_2$ ↳ Limit $g_D \gg g_Q$

Mediator



prompt-decay



Inelastic Dark Matter · Decay Rates

↳ Hierarchy $m_{Z_Q} > m_1 + m_2$ ↳ Limit $g_D \gg g_Q$

Dark fermion

$$\Gamma(\chi_2 \to \chi_1 \bar{f} f) \simeq \frac{4\,\alpha_Q\,\alpha_D\,\Delta^5\,m_{Z_Q}}{15\pi R^5}$$







Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{1,2}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{s}{Hx}\left[-\langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\left(Y_1 Y_2 - Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}} Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}\right) \pm 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_{22\to 11}\left((Y_2)^2 - \left(Y_1\frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left. \pm\left(\langle\sigma v\rangle_{2f\to 1f} Y_f^{\mathrm{eq}} + \frac{1}{s}\langle\Gamma\rangle_{2\to 1ff}\right)\left(Y_2 - Y_1\frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)\right],$$

Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{1,2}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{s}{Hx}\left[-\langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\left(Y_1 Y_2 - Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}} Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}\right) \pm 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_{22\to 11}\left((Y_2)^2 - \left(Y_1\frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left. \pm \left(\langle\sigma v\rangle_{2f\to 1f} Y_f^{\mathrm{eq}} + \frac{1}{s}\langle\Gamma\rangle_{2\to 1ff}\right)\left(Y_2 - Y_1\frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)\right],$$



a) $\chi_1\,\chi_2 \to \mathrm{SM}$

Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{1,2}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{s}{Hx}\left[-\langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\left(Y_1 Y_2 - Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}} Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}\right) \pm 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_{22\to 11}\left((Y_2)^2 - \left(Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left. \pm \left(\langle\sigma v\rangle_{2f\to 1f} Y_f^{\mathrm{eq}} + \frac{1}{s}\langle\Gamma\rangle_{2\to 1ff}\right)\left(Y_2 - Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)\right],$$



a) $\chi_1\,\chi_2 \to \mathrm{SM}$



b) $\chi_2\,\chi_2 \to \chi_1\,\chi_1$

Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{1,2}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{s}{Hx}\left[-\langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\left(Y_1 Y_2 - Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}} Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}\right) \pm 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_{22\to 11}\left((Y_2)^2 - \left(Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left. \pm \left(\langle\sigma v\rangle_{2f\to 1f} Y_f^{\mathrm{eq}} + \frac{1}{s}\langle\Gamma\rangle_{2\to 1ff}\right)\left(Y_2 - Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)\right],$$



a) $\chi_1\,\chi_2 \to \mathrm{SM}$



b) $\chi_2\,\chi_2 \to \chi_1\,\chi_1$



c) $\chi_2\,f \to \chi_1\,f$

Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{1,2}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{s}{Hx}\left[-\langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\left(Y_1 Y_2 - Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}} Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}\right) \pm 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_{22\to 11}\left((Y_2)^2 - \left(Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left. \pm \left(\langle\sigma v\rangle_{2f\to 1f} Y_f^{\mathrm{eq}} + \frac{1}{s}\langle\Gamma\rangle_{2\to 1ff}\right)\left(Y_2 - Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)\right],$$



a) $\chi_1\,\chi_2 \to \mathrm{SM}$



b) $\chi_2\,\chi_2 \to \chi_1\,\chi_1$



c) $\chi_2\,f \to \chi_1\,f$



d) $\chi_2 \to \chi_1 + \mathrm{SM}$

Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{1,2}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{s}{Hx}\left[-\langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\left(Y_1 Y_2 - Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}} Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}\right) \pm 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_{22\to 11}\left((Y_2)^2 - \left(Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left. \pm \left(\langle\sigma v\rangle_{2f\to 1f} Y_f^{\mathrm{eq}} + \frac{1}{s}\langle\Gamma\rangle_{2\to 1ff}\right)\left(Y_2 - Y_1 \frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)\right],$$

coannihilations dominate!



a) $\chi_1\,\chi_2 \to \mathrm{SM}$



b) $\chi_2\,\chi_2 \to \chi_1\,\chi_1$



c) $\chi_2\,f \to \chi_1\,f$



d) $\chi_2 \to \chi_1 + \mathrm{SM}$

Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{1,2}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{s}{Hx}\left[-\langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\left(Y_1 Y_2 - Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}} Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}\right) \pm 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_{22\to 11}\left((Y_2)^2 - \left(Y_1\frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left. \pm \left(\langle\sigma v\rangle_{2f\to 1f} Y_f^{\mathrm{eq}} + \frac{1}{s}\langle\Gamma\rangle_{2\to 1ff}\right)\left(Y_2 - Y_1\frac{Y_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{Y_1^{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)\right],$$

coannihilations dominate!



a) $\chi_1\,\chi_2 \to \mathrm{SM}$

we can simplify by considering $n = n_1 + n_2$

$$\frac{dY}{dx} = -2\frac{s}{xH}\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(Y^2 - Y_{\mathrm{eq}}^2\right)$$

$$\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\mathrm{eff}} = \langle\sigma v\rangle_{12\to ff}\frac{n_1^{\mathrm{eq}} n_2^{\mathrm{eq}}}{(n^{\mathrm{eq}})^2}$$

Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation





Inelastic Dark Matter · Relic Density Computation





Inelastic Dark Matter · ReD-DeLiVeR code

ReD-DeLiVeR (Relic Density with DeLiVeR)

- update of the previous python package DELIVER (**De**cays of **Li**ght **Ve**ctors **R**evised), which can be used to compute decay rates and branching ratios for user-defined $U(1)_Q$ charges,
- **key feature**: includes a complete set of hadronic decays (20 channels)

channel	resonances
$\pi\gamma$	$\boldsymbol{\rho}, \omega, \boldsymbol{\omega'}, \boldsymbol{\omega''}, \boldsymbol{\phi}$
$\pi\pi$	$\rho, \rho', \ldots$
3π	$\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho''}, \omega, \omega', \omega'', \phi$
4π	$\rho, \rho', \rho'', \rho'''$
KK	$\boldsymbol{\rho}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \ldots, \phi, \ldots$
$KK\pi$	$\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho'}, \boldsymbol{\rho''}, \phi, \phi', \phi''$

channel	resonances
$\eta\gamma$	$\rho, \rho', \omega, \phi$
$\eta\pi\pi$	ρ, ρ', ρ''
$\omega\pi \to \pi\pi\gamma$	ρ, ρ', ρ''
$\omega\pi\pi$	ω''
$\phi\pi$	ρ, ρ'
$\eta'\pi\pi$	ρ'''
$\eta\omega$	ω', ω''
$\eta\phi$	ϕ', ϕ''
$p\bar{p}/n\bar{n}$	$\rho, \rho', \ldots, \omega, \omega', \ldots$
$\phi\pi\pi$	ϕ', ϕ''
$K^*(892)K\pi$	ρ'', ϕ'
6π	ρ'''

ALF, P. Reimitz, R.Z. Funchal [JHEP 04 (2022)119]



Inelastic Dark Matter · ReD-DeLiVeR code

ReD-DeLiVeR (Relic Density with DeLiVeR)

- update of the previous python package DELIVER (**De**cays of **Li**ght **Ve**ctors **R**evised), which can be used to compute decay rates and branching ratios for user-defined $U(1)_Q$ charges,
- **key feature**: includes a complete set of hadronic decays (20 channels)
- **new version**:
  - inclusion of DM candidates { simplified DM models, inelastic DM
  - computation of relic density and rates



Inelastic Dark Matter · ReD-DeLiVeR code

ReD-DeLiVeR (Relic Density with DeLiVeR)

- update of the previous python package DELIVER (**De**cays of **Li**ght **Ve**ctors **R**evised), which can be used to compute decay rates and branching ratios for user-defined $U(1)_Q$ charges,
- **key feature**: includes a complete set of hadronic decays (20 channels)
- **new version**:
  - inclusion of DM candidates { simplified DM models, inelastic DM
  - computation of relic density and rates



Inelastic Dark Matter · ReD-DeLiVeR code

ReD-DeLiVeR (Relic Density with DeLiVeR)

- update of the previous python package DELIVER (**De**cays of **Li**ght **Ve**ctors **R**evised), which can be used to compute decay rates and branching ratios for user-defined $U(1)_Q$ charges,
- **key feature**: includes a complete set of hadronic decays (20 channels)
- **new version**:
  - inclusion of DM candidates { simplified DM models, inelastic DM
  - computation of relic density and rates
  - computation of thermal targets



specially for B-coupled models, it is very important to compute correctly the hadronic contributions

Inelastic Dark Matter · ReD-DeLiVeR code

ReD-DeLiVeR (Relic Density with DeLiVeR)

- update of the previous python package DELIVER (**De**cays of **Li**ght **Ve**ctors **R**evised), which can be used to compute decay rates and branching ratios for user-defined $U(1)_Q$ charges,
- **key feature**: includes a complete set of hadronic decays (20 channels)
- **new version**:
  - inclusion of DM candidates { simplified DM models, inelastic DM
  - computation of relic density and rates
  - computation of thermal targets
- publicly available on GitHub together with a **Tutorial**!

https://github.com/anafoguel/ReD-DeLiVeR

ReD-DeLiVeR

by Ana Luisa Foguel, Peter Reimitz, and Renata Zukanovich Funchal

arXiv 2410.00881



specially for B-coupled models, it is very important to compute correctly the hadronic contributions

Inelastic Dark Matter · Bounds

↳ iDM_{B-L}





Inelastic Dark Matter · Bounds

iDM_B





Inelastic Dark Matter · Bounds

iDM_B



⇒



Inelastic Dark Matter · Bounds

iDM_{B-3L_τ}





Inelastic Dark Matter · Bounds

iDM_{B-3L_τ}





Inelastic Dark Matter · Bounds

$\text{iDM}_{L_\mu - L_\tau}$





Conclusions

- Light Feebly Interacting Particles can shed light in several unanswered questions of the SM
- As experiments increase their luminosities, and we enter the intensity frontier era of particle physics, we increase the capabilities to probe new light sectors.
- As a guiding principle, we consider different portals between the Dark Sector and the SM
- In this work we considered a **vector portal** to a fermionic **inelastic Dark Matter** sector

  ↘ thermal relics

  ↘ evade indirect and direct detection

  ↘ evade CMB bounds

  general vector mediators

  iDM_Q

- We developed a code that computes the relic density ReD-DeLiVeR
- With general mediators, we showed that we can unlock new regions of the parameter space of the vanilla dark photon model

  ↘ $B - 3L_\tau$ ↘ $L_\mu - L_\tau$

Conclusions

- Light Feebly Interacting Particles can shed light in several unanswered questions of the SM
- As experiments increase their luminosities, and we enter the intensity frontier era of particle physics, we increase the capabilities to probe new light sectors.
- As a guiding principle, we consider different portals between the Dark Sector and the SM
- In this work we considered a **vector portal** to a fermionic **inelastic Dark Matter** sector

general vector mediators

iDM_Q

thermal relics

evade indirect and direct detection

evade CMB bounds

- We developed a code that computes the relic density ReD-DeLiVeR
- With general mediators, we showed that we can unlock new regions of the parameter space of the vanilla dark photon model

↳ $B - 3L_\tau$ ↳ $L_\mu - L_\tau$

Thank you for your kind attention!

Thank you for your attention!!



BACKUP

Inelastic Dark Matter

DM Thermal Freeze-out · WIMP miracle

We know that freeze-out happens when $\Gamma \sim H$

$$m_\chi \sim \alpha_{\rm eff} \sqrt{T_{\rm eq} M_{\rm Pl}} \sim \alpha_{\rm eff} \times 30\,{\rm TeV}$$

WIMP miracle

↳ For couplings similar to the electroweak coupling $(\alpha_{\rm eff} \sim 10^{-2}) \Rightarrow$ EW scale emerges naturally

However, this also implies that

$$m_{\rm DM} \gtrsim \frac{m_Z^2}{(T_{\rm eq}\, m_{\rm Pl})^{1/2}} \sim {\rm GeV}.$$

Hence, sub-GeV DM motivates the presence of new light mediators



Inelastic Dark Matter



arXiv:2311.00032v1 [hep-ph]

Inelastic Dark Matter · Decay Rates

Decay rates · Mediator

- Hierarchy $m_{Z_Q} > m_1 + m_2$
- Limit $g_D \gg g_Q$







prompt-decay

Inelastic Dark Matter · Decay Rates

Decay rates · Dark fermion χ_2



$$\Gamma(\chi_2 \to \chi_1 \bar{f} f) \simeq \frac{4\,\alpha_Q\,\alpha_D\,\Delta^5\,m_{Z_Q}}{15\pi R^5}$$





Inelastic Dark Matter · Decay Rates

Decay rates · Dark fermion χ_2




iDM$_{B-L}$
$\Delta = 0.4$
Y_1
Y_1^{eq}
Y_2
Y_2^{eq}
Y^{eff}
$g_Q = 1.10 \times 10^{-2}$
$m_1 = 1.00\,\mathrm{GeV}$
$\Omega h^2 = 0.12$
$Y = \frac{n}{s}$
$12 \to \bar{f}f$
$22 \to 11$
$2f \to 1f$
$2 \to 1\bar{f}f$
Γ/H
$\Gamma \sim H$
$x = m_2/T$


iDM$_{B-L}$
$\Delta = 0.1$
Y_1
Y_1^{eq}
Y_2
Y_2^{eq}
Y^{eff}
$g_Q = 6.38 \times 10^{-4}$
$m_1 = 1.00\,\mathrm{GeV}$
$\Omega h^2 = 0.12$
$Y = \frac{n}{s}$
$12 \to \bar{f}f$
$22 \to 11$
$2f \to 1f$
$2 \to 1\bar{f}f$
Γ/H
$\Gamma \sim H$
$x = m_2/T$


iDM$_{B-L}$
$\Delta = 0.05$
Y_1
Y_1^{eq}
Y_2
Y_2^{eq}
Y^{eff}
$g_Q = 4.14 \times 10^{-4}$
$m_1 = 1.00\,\mathrm{GeV}$
$\Omega h^2 = 0.12$
$Y = \frac{n}{s}$
$12 \to \bar{f}f$
$22 \to 11$
$2f \to 1f$
$2 \to 1\bar{f}f$
Γ/H
$\Gamma \sim H$
$x = m_2/T$


iDM$_{B-L}$
$\Delta = 0.01$
Y_1
Y_1^{eq}
Y_2
Y_2^{eq}
Y^{eff}
$g_Q = 2.94 \times 10^{-4}$
$m_1 = 1.00\,\mathrm{GeV}$
$\Omega h^2 = 0.12$
$Y = \frac{n}{s}$
$12 \to \bar{f}f$
$22 \to 11$
$2 \to 1\bar{f}f$
$2f \to 1f$
Γ/H
$\Gamma \sim H$
$x = m_2/T$


iDM$_{B-L}$
$\langle\sigma v\rangle$ [10^{-26} cm^{3}s^{-1}]
10^7
10^4
10^1
10^{-2}
10^{-5}
10^{-8}
10^{-11}
$\Delta = 0.01$
$\Delta = 0.4$
$\alpha_D = 0.1$
$\Delta = 0.01$
$\Delta = 0.4$
$12 \to \bar{f}f$
$22 \to 11$
$2 \to 1\bar{f}f$
$2f \to 1f$
20
40
60
80
100
$x = m_2/T$


iDM
$Y = \frac{n}{s}$
10^{-2}
10^{-4}
10^{-6}
10^{-8}
10^{-10}
10^{-12}
10^{-14}
$\Delta = 10^{-8}$
Y_1
$Y_1^{\rm eq}$
Y_2
$Y_2^{\rm eq}$
$Y^{\rm eff}$
$(\Omega h^2)^{\rm eff} = 0.24$
$\epsilon = 7.42 \times 10^{-4}$
$m_1 = 1.00\,{\rm GeV}$
$\Omega h^2 = 0.12$
Γ/H
10^{12}
10^{7}
10^{2}
10^{-3}
$12 \to \bar{f}f$
$22 \to 11$
$2f \to 1f$
$\Gamma \sim H$
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
$x = m_2/T$

Simulation Checks





Different thermal targets





Fixed Dark Charges

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{\rm D} = i g_D Z_{Q\mu} \bar{\chi}_2 \gamma^\mu \chi_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

$$g_D \equiv g_Q \, q_D$$





Details on the Experimental Limits

- Invisible searches

BaBar, Belle II, LDMX, NA62 and NA64-e

$$\frac{\sigma_{Z_Q}}{\sigma_{Z_\gamma}}\{\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Z_Q}\to\chi_1\chi_2)[\mathrm{BR}(\chi_2\to\chi_1\bar{\nu}\nu)+\mathrm{P}^{\chi_2}_{\mathrm{out}}\,\mathrm{BR}(\chi_2\to\chi_1+\mathrm{vis})]+\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Z_Q}\to\bar{\nu}\nu)\}-1=0$$

$$\mathrm{P}^{\chi_2}_{\mathrm{out}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{L_{out}}/\lambda_{\chi_2}}$$

↳ recast from invisible Dark photon limits

- Semi-visible searches

E137, CHARM, NuCal and LSND

$$\frac{\sigma_{Z_Q}}{\sigma_{Z_\gamma}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Z_Q}\to\chi_1\chi_2)}{\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Z}_\gamma\to\chi_1\chi_2)}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{BR}(\chi_2\to\mathrm{Z}^*_\mathrm{Q}\to\chi_1\mathrm{e}^+\mathrm{e}^-)}{\mathrm{BR}(\chi_2\to\mathrm{Z}^*_\gamma\to\chi_1\mathrm{e}^+\mathrm{e}^-)}\right)\left(\frac{\varepsilon_Q(\tau_{\chi_2})}{\varepsilon_\gamma(\tau_{\chi_2})}\right)-1=0$$

↳ recast from the original iDM limits

Details on the Experimental Limits

- 4μ searches from BaBar and CMS

↳ constrained $L_\mu - L_\tau$ mediators.

$$\frac{g_Q}{g^{\rm exp}_{L_\mu - L_\tau}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Z_Q}\to\mu^+\mu^-)+\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Z_Q}\to\chi_1\chi_2)\mathrm{BR}(\chi_2\to\chi_1\mu^+\mu^-)\mathrm{P}^{\chi_2}_{\rm in}}{\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}_\mu-\mathrm{L}_\tau}\to\mu^+\mu^-)}\right]-1=0$$

$$\mathrm{P}^{\chi_2}_{\rm in}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{L_{in}}/\lambda_{\chi_2}}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{L_{out}}/\lambda_{\chi_2}}$$

- Meson decays

J/Ψ and Υ invisible decay searches

$$\frac{\mathrm{BR}(J/\Psi\to\chi_1\chi_2)}{\mathrm{BR}(J/\Psi\to e^+e^-)}=\frac{\alpha_D}{\alpha_e}\left(\frac{q^c_Q g_Q}{q^c_{\rm em}e}\right)^2\frac{m_V^4}{(m^2_{Z_Q}-m_V^2)^2+m^2_{Z_Q}\Gamma^2_{Z_Q}}$$
$$\times\left(1-\frac{\Delta^2}{R^2}m_r^2\right)^{3/2}\left(1+\frac{m_r^2(\Delta+2)^2}{2R^2}\right)\sqrt{1-\frac{m_r^2(\Delta+2)^2}{R^2}}$$

$$\mathrm{BR}(V\to\mathrm{inv})|_{\mathrm{iDM_Q}}=\mathrm{BR}(V\to\chi_1\chi_2)\left[\mathrm{BR}(\chi_2\to\chi_1\bar\nu\nu)+\mathrm{P}^{\chi_2}_{\rm out}\,\mathrm{BR}(\chi_2\to\chi_1+\mathrm{vis})\right]$$
$$+\,\mathrm{BR}(V\to Z_Q^*\to\bar\nu\nu)\,.\quad\Rightarrow\quad \mathrm{BR}(V\to\mathrm{inv})|_{\mathrm{iDM_Q}}<\mathrm{BR}(V\to\mathrm{inv})|_{\rm exp}$$