Search for coincident neutrino and gravitational wave emission from binary black hole mergers in the accretion disk of active galactic nuclei

Giacomo Bruno, Gwenhaël de Wasseige, Romain Gorski, Mathieu Lamoureux, Chris Raab, Matthias Vereecken*





M. Vereecken - Belgrav 24/01

Motivation

Multi-messenger astronomy

Several such searches already exist!

→ LLAMA analysis (Bayesian)



- → GW Follow-up searches by neutrino observatories (IceCube, KM3Net, SuperK,...)
 - Point-source searches at higher energies
 - Counting analysis at low energies

"General purpose" searches for common source of GW and v

How to get non-GW emission from BBH mergers?

Isolated binaries

Dead disk

Binaries in AGN accretion disks





Perna et al. [1602.05140]



✗ No emission

✓ Remnant disk reactivated

<u>Main take-away:</u>

- Steal as much as you can from GRB models
- No clear singular model prediction

- \checkmark Many (heavy) black holes
- ✓ Frequent mergers
- \checkmark Gas-rich environment

BBH mergers in AGN

Localization alone can already probe this scenario

→ "Just" counting AGN

Bartos et al. [1701.02328] Veronesi et al. [2203.05907] Veronesi et al. [2306.09415]

GW190521 associated with ZTF19abanrhr from an AGN?

Graham et al. [2006.14122]



Effects on waveform

Effect of gaseous disk environment on waveform

$$ho \sim 10^{-8} - 0.1 (10^5 M_\odot/M_{
m SMBH})^{7/10} {
m g/cm^3}$$



Next generation will be able to probe this...

Santoro et al. [2309.05061]

Joint v-GW search for BBH in AGN disks

Analysis

Given GW event: IceCube v in a time window T surrounding the event

Compare 2 hypotheses



Neutrino point-source likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}_{S+B,
u}(n_s;\hat{x}) = rac{e^{-(n_s+n_b)}(n_s+n_b)^{N_
u}}{N_
u!} \prod_{i=1}^{N_
u} rac{n_s \mathcal{S}(\hat{x}_{
u_i};\hat{x}) + n_b \mathcal{B}(\hat{x}_{
u_i})}{n_s + n_b}$$

$\mathcal{S}(\hat{x}_{ u_i};\,\hat{x})$ Spatial likelihood signal neutrino $\mathcal{B}(\hat{x}_{ u_i})$ Spatial likelihood background

Determine n_s using maximum-likelihood fit

Direction prior (GW skymap)



LIGO and Virgo Collab. [1602.03837] The IceCube Collab. [1602.05411]

Distance prior

Pixel-dependent GW distance probability



Analysis

Best-fit = AGN which maximises TS

$$TS_{ ext{AGN}} = 2 \ln \left(rac{\mathcal{L}_{
u, ext{S}+ ext{B}}(n_s; \hat{x}_{ ext{AGN}})}{\mathcal{L}_{
u, ext{B}}} imes p\left(\hat{x}_{ ext{AGN}} \left| ext{GW}
ight)
ight) imes p\left(d_{ ext{AGN}} \left| ext{GW}
ight)
ight)$$

Similar to existing v-GW unbinned ML TS New

Significance:

- → Perform trials with scrambled neutrino data
- → Compare obtained TS-distribution with observed TS

AGN catalog

Requirements

- → Number of AGN
 - Too few AGN = miss coincidences
 - Too many AGN = lose sensitivity advantage
- → Uniformity (cover full sky with similar depth)
- → Completeness

Quaia catalog

Quaia = Gaia DR3 quasar candidates (6.6M) \otimes unWISE (2B+)

- → 755 850 quasars with magnitude G < 20
- → 200 000 up to z<=1
- → G<19, z<=1 only 70k for improved purity



Storey-Fisher et al. [2306.17749]



M. Vereecken - Belgrav 24/01

AGN catalog - redshift information

Photometric redshift, inherently less precise...

But error on redshift provided!



AGN catalog - Selection function

Measure of completeness provided



M. Vereecken - Belgrav 24/01

Next steps

→ Neutrinos

- Decide on time window (standard 1000 s)
- Start with high-energy neutrino sample (100 GeV ~PeV)
- Add lower-energy samples in future:
 - GRECO (10 GeV 100 GeV)
 - ELOWEN (1 GeV 10 GeV)?
 - Hitspool (raw data, potentially even lower energy)?
- → Gravitational waves
 - Add subthreshold GW do we add new term to likelihood?
- → AGN Catalog
 - Once analysis is designed, go back and evaluate properties of catalog