

## Neutrino emission from gravitational wave sources

CP3 seminar

Mathieu Lamoureux

CP3, UCLouvain

## Overview of my path



## Overview of my path



Introduction

## **Binary mergers**

gas wind  $\rightarrow$  probing the environment of he source  $\rightarrow$  constraining MHD parameters and neutrino transport mechanisms

Mergers of compact objects (Neutron Stars -NS-, Black Holes -BH-) are established gravitational wave (GW) emitters.

- **BNS** (NS+NS) or **NSBH** (NS+BH): may produce short Gamma-Ray Bursts with neutrino production
- **BBH** (BH+BH): neutrinos may be produced in the accretion disks of the BHs

Spectrum	$E^{-\gamma}$ often considered in searches
	and MeV/GeV emission?
Shape	isotropic (not realistic at high energy)
	or presence of directional jet?
Timing	GW170817 + GRB170817A observation
	hints to prompt signal for BNS

#### Total energy emitted in neutrinos?

Not well estimated,  $10^{50}\text{-}10^{53}\,\text{erg}?$  (1  $\text{erg}\approx$  624 GeV)

## Reference for how energetic are these events





Belgium electricity production for  $10^{25} - 10^{28}$  years!

## Existing GW catalogs

Since 2015,  $\sim$  100 detections distributed in 4 catalogs:

- GWTC-1: 11 events from O1 and O2
- GWTC-2: 39 events from O3a
- GWTC-2.1: 8 add. events from O3a
- GWTC-3: 35 events from O3b

Next observation period O4:

- expected to start end of May 2023
- hundreds of new detections per year





## Content of GW catalogs

For each GW, we have:

- time of the event
- sky localisation
- estimated distance
- estimated masses

#### Classification:

Events can be classified based on object masses:

•  $m < 3 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot} = \mathrm{NS}$ 

• 
$$m > 3 M_{\odot} = BH$$





In total:

- 2 BNS (GW170817, GW190425)
- 5 NSBH
- the rest are BBH

Golden technique detection of Cherenkov light emitted by charged particles produced during neutrino interaction

Golden technology large water volume instrumented with photomultipliers (PMTs)

#### Three main strategies:

- *Super-Kamiokande/Hyper-Kamiokande:* water tank with PMTs on the walls of the tank
- ANTARES/KM3NeT/Baikal-GVD...: PMTs deployed on vertical lines in sea/ocean/lake
- *IceCube:* same as above, but in ice



## Follow-up with Super-Kamiokande

## The Super-Kamiokande experiment (1)

🛄 NIM.A 501 (2003) 418-462

11

Experiment running since 1998, located in the Mozumi mine in Japan.



## The Super-Kamiokande experiment (1)

🛄 NIM.A 501 (2003) 418-462 12

Experiment running since 1998, located in the Mozumi mine in Japan.



## The Super-Kamiokande experiment (2)







## The Super-Kamiokande experiment (2)









#### INCREASING ENERGY

#### Fully-Contained (FC) events



Low-energy events (LOWE)  $E_{\nu} \sim 3.5 - 100 \, \text{MeV}$ 

(LOWE) EVENTS 100 MeV LOW-ENERGY 5 m.

EVENT ONTAINED 10 GeV FULLY-C $E_{\nu} \sim 0.1$ 

#### Partially-Contained (PC) events



INCREASING ENERGY



#### Upward-going muons (UPMU)

(LOWE) EVENTS 100 MeV 5 m.

LOW-ENERGY

ONTAINED 10 GeV FULLYцì

Je/ PARTIALLY 0.1

INCREASING ENERGY

Upgoing only to reject atmospheric muons



e/

 $\frac{\mathrm{UPGOING\ MUONS\ }(\mathrm{UPMU})}{E_{\nu} \sim 1.6-10^{5}\,\mathrm{GeV}}$ 

- Four samples
- $\bullet$  Energies ranging from MeV to TeV
  - \* solar neutrinos
  - \* supernova neutrinos
  - \* accelerator neutrinos (T2K)
  - \* atmospheric neutrinos
  - \* astrophysical neutrinos?

- Focus on **GWTC-2** catalog
- Define a  $\pm 500\,\mathrm{s}$  centered on GW time
- Search for events within this time window, in the four SK samples
- Compare observation with expected background and extract constraints on neutrino emission

Щ ApJ 918 (2021) <u>2, 78</u>

20

• Compute signal significance by comparing neutrino directions and GW localisation

Low-energy sample	High-energy samples			
Standard solar/SRN selection + 7 MeV energy threshold to ensure stable bkg rate		Standard atmospheric selection		
$rac{ ext{expected background}}{ ext{in 1000 seconds}} = 0.729$	0.112	0.007	0.016	

Performed the analysis for 39 GWs in GWTC-2. Three of them were associated to SK downtime (due to calibration) (one less for low-energy due to HV issues).



No significant excess was observed in any of the samples.

## Ten SK high-energy events in time coincidence

₩ ApJ 918 (2021) 2, 78 **22** 



Test statistic (TS) has been built to separate signal (point-source) from background (full-sky). It is used to compute p-values (compared observed TS to background distribution).



## High-Energy Flux limits (1)

#### Effective area $A_{\rm eff}$

The neutrino flux is assumed as 
$$\frac{dN}{dE_{\nu}} = \phi_0 E_{\nu}^{-2}$$
 and  $N_{\text{expected signal}} = \int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} dE_{\nu} A_{\text{eff}}^{s,f}(E_{\nu},\theta) \times \frac{dN}{dE_{\nu}}.$ 

#### Sample-by-sample flux limits

For each sample/flavour ( $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e, \nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu$ ), we define the likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi_0; n_B, N) = \int rac{(a(\Omega)\phi_0 + n_B)^N}{N!} e^{-(a(\Omega)\phi_0 + n_B)} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GW}}(\Omega) d\Omega$$

where 
$$a(\Omega) = \int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} dE_{\nu} A_{\text{eff}}(E_{\nu}, \theta) E_{\nu}^{-2}$$
 is the acceptance.  
90% limit on flux is obtained by solving  $\int_{0}^{\phi^{\text{up}}} \mathcal{L}(\phi) d\phi = 0.9$ 

#### Combined flux limits

Limits combining FC, PC and UPMU are obtained by using the combined TS used for significance computation.



## High-Energy Flux limits (2)

#### Example of limits for $\nu_{\mu}$ flavour:



Better limits with the UPMU sample when the GW is below the local horizon. Combined limits are close to the best individual one.

## Limits on the total energy emitted in neutrinos U ApJ 918 (2021) 2, 78

- We assume isotropic emission of high-energy neutrinos around the source.
- $\bullet\,$  The distance to the GW source is estimated by LIGO/Virgo from GW observations.
- The total energy emitted in neutrinos from the source is related to the flux at Earth:  $E_{\rm iso} = 4\pi d^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E} \times E \,\mathrm{d}E$
- Limits on  $E_{iso}$  are computed in the same way as the ones on the flux. • They range between  $2 \times 10^{56}$  erg and  $4 \times 10^{59}$  erg.



## Population study

Typical emission per source population

- Ш АрЈ 918 (2021) 2, 78
- We may expect all BBH mergers will have similar behaviour (same for NSBH and BNS).
- Hypothesis 1: they all the same neutrino emission and we want to constrain  $E_{\rm iso}$
- Hypothesis 2: the emissilon scales with the "size" of the binary system e.g.,  $E_{iso} = f \times M_{initial}$ .



Improvement of a factor 5 for BBH with respect to individual limits.

#### Constraint on scaling factor f (hyp.2)

## Follow-up with ANTARES

## Detection principle

- 1. Neutrino interacts
- 2. Produces charged particles
- 3. Emit Cherenkov light
- 4. Detected by 3D array of PMTs

# $\frac{\text{TRACK EVENTS}}{\nu_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu + X}$ - fit line $\rightarrow$ direction - amount of light $\rightarrow$ energy



## Detection principle

- 1. Neutrino interacts
- 2. Produces charged particles
- 3. Emit Cherenkov light
- 4. Detected by 3D array of PMTs

#### Shower events

 $\nu_e+\nu_\tau$  charged current interactions  $\nu_e+\nu_\mu+\nu_\tau$  neutral current interations

1)e



 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Detector acceptance depends on energy:} \\ \textbf{lower energies} \rightarrow \textbf{less light} \rightarrow \textbf{needs denser PMT layout} \\ \textbf{higher energies} \rightarrow \textbf{more absorbed by Earth} \rightarrow \textbf{can only see downgoing } \nu \textbf{s} \end{array}$ 

## The ANTARES experiment





- In operation since 2006 (completed in 2008)
- Off the coast of Toulon
- 12 lines
- 25 storeys/line
- 3 PMTs / storey

Total instrumented volume: 10 Mt

## Analysis overview

Using both track and shower events

For each GW:

- 1 Find corresponding data period
- Ø Estimate background
- Optimize search region and cuts to ensure low background and maximise signal acceptance
- ④ Compute detector acceptance
- 6 Estimate systematic uncertainties
- 6 Count number of events in data
- Constrain neutrino emission

For BBH population (same for NSBH):

• Constrain typical emission



32

u arXiv:2302.07723

## Constraints on neutrino emission

**Assumption:**  $\frac{dN}{dE}\Big|_{all-flavours} = \phi_0 E^{-\gamma} + equipartition between flavours$ 

- Constraints on the flux normalisation  $\phi_0 = E^\gamma \mathrm{d} N/\mathrm{d} E$
- Constraints on the total energy emitted in neutrino:
  - assuming isotropic emission
  - assuming jetted emission [new w.r.t. SK paper]
- Constraints on  $f_{\nu} = E_{\text{tot},\nu}/E_{\text{rad}}$  [different w.r.t. SK paper]  $(E_{\text{rad}} = \sum M_{\text{init}} - M_{\text{final}}$  is the total energy radiated in GWs)

## Method

- Poisson counting in each event category:  $\mathcal{L} = \prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Poisson} \left( N^{(c)}; B^{(c)} + \phi_0 \cdot a_{\gamma}^{(c)} \cdot f_{\gamma}^{(c)}(\Omega) \right)$
- Priors on background and signal to cover systematics, flat prior on  $\phi_0$ ,  $E_{\rm tot}$ ...
- Posterior distribution:  $P(\phi_0) \propto \sum_t \mathcal{L}\left(\{N^{(c)}\}; \{B^{(c)}\}_t, \{a_{\gamma}^{(c)}\}_t, \Omega_t, \phi_0, \gamma\right)$



u arXiv:2302.07723

## Final results

- Follow-up of **80 GW events** reported during O3 (April 2019 March 2020).
- No excess observed in any channels.
- Upper limits on neutrino emission:
  - typical flux limits:

 $E^2 {
m d} N / {
m d} E < 4 - 60 \, {
m GeV} \, {
m cm}^{-2}$ 

• limits on total energy emitted in neutrinos (assuming isotropic emission):

 $E_{
m tot, 
u}^{
m iso} < 10^{54} - 2 imes 10^{59} \, 
m erg$ 

• limits on 
$$f_{\nu}^{\text{iso}} = E_{\text{tot},\nu}^{\text{iso}}/E_{\text{rad}}$$
:  
 $f_{\nu}^{\text{iso}} < 2 - 50\,000$ 

#### Individual limits on total isotropic energy

u arXiv:2302.07723



## Population study

Same strategy as for Super-K, except that we also consider non-isotropic emissions and  $E^{-2.5}$ ,  $E^{-3.0}$  spectra.





## Follow-up with KM3NeT

## The KM3NeT experiment

🛄 J.Phys.G 43 (2016) 8, 084001

37

New optical sensors: DOMs (Digital Optical Modules) with  $31 \times 3$ " PMTs



## The KM3NeT experiment

🛄 J.Phys.G 43 (2016) 8, 084001

38

New optical sensors: DOMs (Digital Optical Modules) with  $31 \times 3$ " PMTs



building block = 115 lines (DUs) 18 DOMs / line **ORCA** (France)  $E_{\nu} = \text{Gev-TeV}$ complementary  $E_{\nu} = \text{TeV-PeV}$ ARCA (Italy) (C) 2 building blocks  $\sim 1 \, \mathrm{km}^3$ Deploymen

39

During the O3 run, KM3NeT/ORCA was taking data with 4-6 lines (ORCA4 / ORCA6)



Two different selections

#### Search in the 5-30 MeV energy range

- Search time window:  $[t_{\rm GW}, t_{\rm GW} + 2s]$ .
- Based on supernova search framework.
- Looking for an **excess of coincidental hits** in sliding 500 ms time windows.
- The maximum number of coincidences is converted to a p-value and limits on neutrino emission (quasi-thermal spectrum).

#### Search for upgoing tracks (GeV–TeV neutrinos)

- Search time window:  $t_{\rm GW}\pm 500\,{
  m s.}$
- Background estimated using off-time events.
- Selection based on a **Boosted Decision Tree**.
- Cut on the score is optimized.
- Limits on neutrino emission ( $E^{-2}$  spectrum):  $P(\phi) = \int \mathcal{L}(N_{\rm ON}|b, \phi, a(\Omega)) \times \pi(b)\pi(a)\pi(\Omega)$ assuming the source is in upgoing sky.

## Results

Poster @ Neutrino 2022

40

- Follow-up of  $\sim$  50 GW sources.
- Again, no significant excess in both searches.
- Typical high-energy (GeV-TeV) flux limits:
  - ORCA4:  $E^2 dN/dE < 100 500 \,\text{GeV}\,\text{cm}^{-2}$
  - ORCA6:  $E^2 dN/dE < 50 200 \,\text{GeV}\,\text{cm}^{-2}$
- Typical total energy limits:
  - MeV:  $E_{{
    m tot},
    u}^{
    m iso} < 6 imes 10^{59} 10^{63}\,{
    m erg}$
  - GeV-TeV:  $E_{
    m tot, 
    u}^{
    m iso} < 2 imes 10^{56} 10^{59} \, {
    m erg}$
- Population studies for GeV-TeV analysis:
  - BBH:  $E_{\mathrm{tot},\nu}^{\mathrm{iso}} < 3.1 imes 10^{55} \, \mathrm{erg}$
  - NSBH:  $E_{\mathrm{tot}, \nu}^{\mathrm{iso}} < 1.9 imes 10^{55} \, \mathrm{erg}$

Comparison between ANTARES and KM3NeT/ORCA4-6 1012  $10^{4}$ ANTARES/GW/19081/ 1010 ORCA4/GW190814 Limit on E<sup>2</sup>dn/dE [GeV/cm<sup>2</sup>] ORCA6/GW200208 10<sup>3</sup> - 10<sup>8</sup> Differential limits cm<sup>2</sup>] Effective areas 106 10<sup>2</sup> area 104 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 100  $10^{-4}$  $10^{-1}$  $10^{-6}$   $10^{-6}$ 100 101 10<sup>2</sup> 103  $10^{4}$ 105

Energy [GeV]

Paper under preparation

ORCA: 15 lines, ARCA: 21 lines. In 2023: new lines in spring/autumn (live blog 🎔 🗅, app)



Summary (1)



			KM3NeT
Туре	Super-Kamiokande	ANTARES	KM3NeT
Energy range	7 — 100 MeV 0.1 GeV — TeV	$100{ m GeV}-{ m PeV}$	5 — 30 MeV GeV — TeV
Time window Flavours	$1000{ m s}$ $ar u_e/{ m all}$	1000 s all	2 s and 1000 s all and $ u_{\mu}+ar{ u}_{\mu}$
Flux limits ( $E^{-2}$ )	$40-2000~{ m GeV}~{ m cm}^{-2}$	$4-60~\mathrm{GeV}~\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	$50\text{-}500~\mathrm{GeV}~\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$
$t_{ ext{tot}, u}^{ ext{Tiso}}$ , BBH stacking More details	$4 imes 10^{55} ext{erg}$ Paper in ApJ	$4 imes 10^{53}{ m erg}$ Paper on arXiv	$3 imes 10^{55}{ m erg}$ Paper incoming!

-

- Different selections, but same underlying statistical methods in all these searches.
- All observations are fully compatible with the expected background.



## Outlooks

## Next GW observation period

Updated 2023-01-23	<b>—</b> 01	<b>—</b> 02	<b>—</b> O3	<b>—</b> O4	<b>—</b> O5
LIGO	80 Мрс	100 Мрс	100-140 Мрс	160-190 Мрс	240-325 Мрс
Virgo		30 Мрс	40-50 Мрс	80-115 Мрс	150-260 Мрс
KAGRA			0.7 Мрс	1-3 ≃10 ≳10 Мрс Мрс Мрс	25-128 Мрс
G2002127-v18	1   2015 2016	 2017 2018 2	019 2020 2021 2	2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2	 027 2028 2029

O4 expected to start on May 24th, 2023. Significant GW alert rate: 1 per day

44

🛄 Doc

## How far we are & what we can do

➡ MNRAS 476 (2018) 1, 1191-1197
 ➡ MNRAS 490 (2019) 4, 4935-4943

106 IceCube/ELOWEN Adapted from PoS ICRC (2021) 939  $10^{4}$ 10<sup>2</sup> NTARES Super-K IceCube/GRECC 10<sup>0</sup> E<sup>2</sup> F (GeVcm<sup>-2</sup>) 10-2  $10^{-4}$  $10^{-6}$ 0 8 10-8. Model predictions for GRB 170817 [Mon Not Boy Astron Soc 10-10  $10^8 \ 10^9 \ 10^{10}$  $10^{-1}$   $10^{0}$  $10^5$   $10^6$   $10^7$ 101 102 104 Energy (GeV)

Be aware that this is a specific neutrino emission model, others may be more or less optimistic.

Despite many analyses, no excess found yet...

Waiting to get lucky for any significant neutrino detection?



- Extend the reach of current large telescopes (KM3NeT/IceCube) to the lowest energies.
- Perform stacking analyses and population studies, taking benefit of the increasing catalog of GW sources.

## KM3NeT @ UCLouvain

#### Ongoing development of new selections

- selection of GeV neutrinos using single-DOM events, to be used for transient searches [Jonathan]
- selection of downgoing track-like events:
  - needs to separate downgoing neutrinos from the dominant atmospheric muon background
  - development of dedicated boosted decision tree

cos(zenith reco





## 46

#### Getting prepared for O4

- new realtime framework under finalisation
- getting ready to follow O4 alerts quickly, with ORCA and ARCA, with low- and high-energy events



## IceCube @ UCLouvain

➡ arXiv:2105.13160➡ Gwen's thesis

47

Papers: GW150914 (PRD 93, 122010), GW151226 (PRD 96, 022005), GW170817 (ApJ.Lett. 850 (2017) 2, L35), O1+O2 (ApJ.Lett. 898 (2020) 1, L10), O3 (PoS ICRC2021, 939, arXiv:2105.13160, arXiv:2208.09532)



Different analyses:

- GFU, > 100 GeV ( $u_{\mu}$ ), b= 6.7 mHz
- GRECO, 5 100 GeV ( $u_{\mu}$ ), b = 4.5 mHz
- ELOWEN, 0.5 5 GeV (all), b = 20 mHz



Example of GeV neutrino event (+noise)

### Ongoing works with [Gwen] and [Karlijn]:

- improvement of the ELOWEN selection (0.5-5 GeV)
- studies about adding directionality information to the ELOWEN events
- getting prepared for quick follow-up of O4 real-time alerts!



#### All experiments publish independent results. How complementary are they?

JANG

Joint Analysis of Neutrinos and Gravitational waves New Bayesian framework aiming to perform quick analysis for single detector and combination of different samples in different detectors to exploit complementarity.

#### Inputs:

- Neutrino: observation, background, Instrumental Response Functions
- GW: posterior samples, skymap

#### **Configuration:**

- Neutrino spectrum ( $E^{-2}$ ,  $E^{-3}$ ,  $E^{-2}e^{-E/E_{cut}}$ ...), emission model
- Priors on nuisance and signal parameters
- Poisson or point-source likelihood

#### Outputs:

- Limits on the flux, on the total energy...
- Stacked limits for sub-populations

Can be used to investigate synergies between different searches.



**Fig:** Example with ANTARES and Super-K, as a function of energy cut (x-axis) and spectral index (y-axis).

## Summary (2)

#### Take-home message:

- Neutrino emission expected from binary mergers
- Many constraints from existing neutrino telescopes, but no detection yet
- Promising prospects with O4 (more events, more BNS...)
- ... but we should also benefit from new developments at neutrino telescopes:
  - extension to lower energies [GeV range explored here @ UCLouvain]
  - synergies between experiments
  - more advanced population studies



## Backups

## Energy ranges of neutrino telescopes



How likely the SK observation is associated to background, given time+space correlations?

The p-value can be dissociated in  $p = p_{\text{time}} \times p_{\text{space}}$ , with:

- $p_{ ext{time}} = ext{Prob}(N \ge 1) = 1 e^{-n_B} \sim 12.6\%$  for  $n_B = ext{total background}$   $_{ ext{(FC+PC+UPMU)}} = 0.13$
- $p_{\rm space}$  is obtained by comparing neutrino direction and GW localisation.
  - For each sample (k = FC, PC or UPMU), define the point-source likelihood  $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{(k)}(n_{S}^{(k)}, \gamma; \Omega_{S})$  that separates background from signal ( $dn/dE \propto E^{-\gamma}$ , direction  $\Omega_{S}$ ).
  - Compute the maximum log-likelihood ratio  $\Lambda$  (GW localisation  $\mathcal{P}_{GW}$  used as prior) and find the source direction  $\Omega_{S}$  that maximises it:

$$\Lambda(\Omega_{S}) = 2\sum_{k} \ln \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\nu}(\widehat{n_{S}^{(k)}}, \widehat{\gamma^{(k)}}; \Omega_{S})}{\mathcal{L}_{\nu}(n_{S}^{(k)} = 0; \Omega_{S})} \right] + 2 \ln \mathcal{P}_{GW}(\Omega_{S}) \text{ and } \mathbf{TS} = \max_{\Omega} \left[ \Lambda(\Omega) \right]$$

• Compare  $TS_{\text{data}}$  with the expected background distribution (with  $N \ge 1$ ) to obtain  $p_{\text{space}}$ .

For each sample k, we define the likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{(k)}(n_{S}^{(k)},\gamma;\Omega_{S}) = \frac{e^{-(n_{S}^{(k)}+n_{B}^{(k)})}(n_{S}^{(k)}+n_{B}^{(k)})^{N^{(k)}}}{N^{(k)!}} \prod_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}} \frac{n_{S}^{(k)}\mathcal{S}^{(i)}(\vec{x_{i}},E_{i};\Omega_{S},\gamma)+n_{B}^{(k)}\mathcal{B}^{(k)}(\vec{x_{i}},E_{i})}{n_{S}^{(k)}+n_{B}^{(k)}}$$

where  $S^{(k)}$  and  $B^{(k)}$  are the signal/background p.d.f. (characterizing detector response). Then, we compute the log-likelihood ratio:

$$\Lambda(\Omega_{S}) = 2\sum_{k} \ln \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\nu}(\widehat{n_{S}^{(k)}}, \widehat{\gamma^{(k)}}; \Omega_{S})}{\mathcal{L}_{\nu}(n_{S}^{(k)} = 0; \Omega_{S})} \right] + 2 \ln \mathcal{P}_{GW}(\Omega_{S})$$

The final test statistic and p-value are:

$$TS = \max_{\Omega} [\Lambda(\Omega)]$$
 and  $p_{ ext{space}} = \int_{TS_{ ext{data}}}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{ ext{bkg}}(TS) \, \mathrm{d}TS$ 

where  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{bkg}}(TS)$  is the expected background distribution.

• Flux: We define the following likelihood by using the TS defined before:

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi_0; TS_{\text{data}}, \mathcal{P}_{GW}) = \int \sum_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \frac{\left( c(\Omega) \phi_0 \right)^k}{k!} e^{-c(\Omega) \phi_0} \times \mathcal{P}_k(TS_{\text{data}}) \right] \times \mathcal{P}_{GW}(\Omega) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

where  $P_i(TS)$  is the distribution of the test statistic assuming the signal consists in *i* events, assuming  $E^{-2}$  spectrum  $(dn/dE = \phi_0 E^{-2})$ . The 90% upper linit is obtained as above  $(\int_0^{\phi_0^{up}} \mathcal{L}(\phi_0) d\phi_0 = 0.90)$ .

• Total energy: Same for E<sub>iso</sub> limits:

$$\mathcal{L}(E_{\rm iso}; TS_{\rm data}^{(i)}, \mathcal{V}_{GW}^{(i)}) = \int \sum_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \frac{\left(c'(r, \Omega) E_{\rm iso}\right)^{k}}{k!} e^{-c'(r, \Omega) E_{\rm iso}} \times \mathcal{P}_{k}^{(i)}(TS_{\rm data}^{(i)}) \right] \times \mathcal{V}_{GW}^{(i)}(r, \Omega) \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

## ANTARES: Constraints on neutrino emission

- Inputs detector acceptance as a function of direction
  - number of observed/expected events in track and shower channels
  - GW information (posterior samples, skymap)

Assumptions

 $\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E} \right|_{\mathrm{all-flavours}} = \phi_0 E^{-\gamma} + \mathrm{equipartition \ between \ flavours}$ 

- Outputs  $\bullet$  constraints on the flux normalisation  $\phi_0 = E^{\gamma} \mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}E$ 
  - constraints on the total energy emitted in neutrino:
    - assuming isotropic emission
    - assuming jetted emission [DIFFERENT w.r.t. Super-K]
  - constraints on  $f_{\nu} = E_{\text{tot},\nu}/E_{\text{rad}}$  [DIFFERENT] ( $E_{\text{rad}} = \sum M_{\text{init}} - M_{\text{final}}$  is the total radiated energy = total energy budget of the merger process)

Method • Poisson counting in each event category:  

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Poisson} \left( N^{(c)}; B^{(c)} + \phi_0 \cdot a_{\gamma}^{(c)} \cdot f_{\gamma}^{(c)}(\Omega) \right)$$
• Priors on background and signal to cover systematics, flat prior on  $\phi_0$ ,  $E_{\text{tot}}$ 

• Posterior distribution:  $P(\phi_0) \propto \sum_{t \in \text{toys}} \mathcal{L}\left(\{N^{(c)}\}; \{B^{(c)}\}_t, \{a_{\gamma}^{(c)}\}_t, \Omega_t, \phi_0, \gamma\right)$ 

arXiv:2302.07723