Formalism for power spectral density estimation for non-identical and correlated noise using the null channel in Einstein Telescope

Kamiel Janssens, **Guillaume Boileau**, Marie-Anne Bizouard, Nelson Christensen, Tania Regimbau and Nick van Remortel

Belgrav weekly meeting and the state of the state of the November 23, 2022

Paper accessible at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.00416>

Introduction of the formalism

$$
A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z - X)
$$

\n
$$
E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(X - 2Y + Z)
$$

\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(X + Y + Z).
$$

\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathbf{e}_X = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3}, -1, 0) \\
\mathbf{e}_Y = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3}, 1, 0) \\
\mathbf{e}_Z = (0, 1, 0)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(6)

Null Channel T

$$
T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (X + Y + Z), \text{ with}
$$

\n
$$
I = n^{I}(t) + d_{ij}^{I} h^{ij}(t), (I = [X, Y, Z]) F_{+,\times}^{I} = d_{ij}^{I} e_{+,\times}^{ij}
$$

\n
$$
\begin{cases} F_{+,\times}^{Y}(\theta, \phi, \psi) = F_{+,\times}^{X}(\theta, \phi + \frac{2\pi}{3}, \psi) \\ F_{+,\times}^{Z}(\theta, \phi, \psi) = F_{+,\times}^{X}(\theta, \phi - \frac{2\pi}{3}, \psi) \end{cases}
$$

By combination of the three detector output

$$
T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{I} \left[n^{I}(t) + d_{ij}^{I} h^{ij}(t) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{I} n^{I}(t)
$$

No GW signal in the T channel <https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.3563>

Toy Model Null channel

Toy model, 3 sinusoidal signals dephased by $2\pi/3$ phase + 3 independent Gaussian noise.

The formalism Identical noise in X, Y and Z

 $\langle T(f)T^*(f') \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f') [S_n^I(f) + 2S_n^{IJ}(f)]$ $S_{n}^{XY}(f) = S_{n}^{XZ}(f) = S_{n}^{YZ}(f) \equiv S_{n}^{IJ}(f)$ $\langle A(f)A^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[S_n^I(f) - S_n^{IJ}(f) + \frac{9}{20}S_h(f)\right]$ $S_n^X(f) = S_n^Y(f) = S_n^Z(f) \equiv S_n^I(f)$ $\langle E(f)E^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[S_n^I(f) - S_n^{IJ}(f) + \frac{9}{20}S_h(f)\right]$ $\langle T(f)A^*(f')\rangle = \langle A(f)T^*(f')\rangle = 0$ $\langle T(f)E^*(f')\rangle = \langle E(f)T^*(f')\rangle = 0$ $\langle E(f)A^*(f')\rangle = \langle A(f)E^*(f')\rangle = 0.$

For an isotropic SGWB with equal levels of tensor cross- and plus- polarization,

$$
S_h^I(f) = \frac{3}{10} S_h(f)
$$

$$
S_h^{IJ}(f) = -\frac{3}{20} S_h(f)
$$

The formalism Unique noise in X, Y and Z

 $\langle T(f)T^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{3}(S_n^X(f)+S_n^Y(f)+S_n^Z(f))+\frac{2}{3}(S_n^{XY}(f)+S_n^{XZ}(f)+S_n^{YZ}(f))\right]$ $\langle A(f)A^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{2}(S_n^X(f)+S_n^Z(f))-S_n^{XZ}(f)+\frac{9}{20}S_h(f)\right]$ $\langle E(f)E^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{6}(S_n^X(f)+4S_n^Y(f)+S_n^Z(f))+\frac{1}{3}S_n^{XZ}(f)-\frac{2}{3}(S_n^{XY}(f)+S_n^{YZ}(f))\right]$ $+\frac{9}{20}S_h(f)$ $\langle T(f)A^*(f')\rangle = \langle A(f)T^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(S_n^Z(f)-S_n^X(f)+S_n^{YZ}(f)-S_n^{XY}(f))\right]$ $\langle T(f)E^*(f')\rangle = \langle E(f)T^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(S_n^X(f)-2S_n^Y(f)+S_n^Z(f)-S_n^{XY}(f)+2S_n^{XZ}(f)-S_n^{YZ}(f))\right]$ $\langle E(f) A^*(f') \rangle = \langle A(f) E^*(f') \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta(f - f') \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} (-S_n^X(f) + S_n^Z(f) - 2S_n^{YZ}(f) + 2S_n^{XY}(f)) \right],$

The formalism Unique noise in X, Y and Z

 $\langle T(f)A^*(f')\rangle=\langle A(f)T^*(f')\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(S_n^Z(f)-S_n^X(f)+S_n^{YZ}(f)-S_n^{XY}(f))\right]$ $\langle T(f)E^*(f')\rangle=\langle E(f)T^*(f')\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(S^X_n(f)-2S^Y_n(f)+S^Z_n(f)-S^{XY}_n(f)+2S^{XZ}_n(f)-S^{YZ}_n(f))\right]$ $\langle T(f)T^*(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\frac{1}{3}(S_n^X(f)+S_n^Y(f)+S_n^Z(f))+\frac{2}{3}(S_n^{XY}(f)+S_n^{XZ}(f)+S_n^{YZ}(f))\right]$

$$
\langle T(f)X^*(f') \rangle = \langle X(f)T^*(f') \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f - f') \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (S_n^X(f) + S_n^{XY}(f) + S_n^{XZ}(f)) \right]
$$

$$
\langle T(f)Y^*(f') \rangle = \langle Y(f)T^*(f') \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f - f') \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (S_n^Y(f) + S_n^{YX}(f) + S_n^{YZ}(f)) \right]
$$

$$
\langle T(f)Z^*(f') \rangle = \langle Z(f)T^*(f') \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f - f') \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (S_n^Z(f) + S_n^{ZX}(f) + S_n^{ZY}(f)) \right]
$$

ET Correlation noise : Newtonian noise and Schumann resonance

FIG. 13: Strain of the NN with CSD of the Homestakes underground seismometers D2000 and E2000 vertical displacement measurement (see Fig. $\vert 8 \rangle$) with a horizontal distance of 405m at a depth of \sim 610m (red curve). The solid line is the body waves NN strain from the 50 $\%$ percentile and the surface associated is delimited by the 10^{th} and 90^{th} percentiles CSD. The gray surface, delimited by the low and high limits of Peterson measurement $\overline{36}$, are the body wave NN strain at 610 m depth. The black line is the ET-Xylophone design sensitivity

FIG. 3: "ASD" and "GWB" magnetic coupling function upper limits for $ET - X$ design sensitivity. Also included are the average of the measurements of the coupling functions at LIGO Hanford, LIGO Livingston and Virgo during the O3 run for comparison.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.06809> <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14730>

FIG. 1: Diagram summarizing the formalism one can use for the estimation of noise spectral densities. In the presence of non-identical noise more information can be gained by using the CSD between TX , TY and TZ compared to just using the PSD TT , as shown in Eq. 8

Toy example for the Einstein Telescope

FIG. 1: The PSDs of the X, Y, Z channels, the ET noise and the injected correlated noise $S_n^{\text{GP},10}$, $S_n^{\text{GP},50}$ and $S_n^{\text{GP},90}$ (see text for a more detailed description). The contribution of the injected SGWB S_n point out that at high frequencies the X, Y and Z PSDs seem to not match the ET noise curve. This is due to the small but non-negligble contribution of the GW signal, as can be seen by the perfect match for the T PSD in Fig. $[5]$.

Toy example for the Einstein Telescope

FIG. 3: Left: the coherence between the T and A, E channels. The black dashed line represents the level of coherence expected from independent Gaussian data, which goes approximately as $1/N$, where N is the number of time segments over which was averaged. Right: the modulus of the CSD between the T and A , E channels. The expected cross spectral densities associated with the T and A channels, and T and E channels given by Eq. $\boxed{17}$ for the toy model example are shown in black. The expected CSD is in agreement with the observed CSD.

Toy example for the Einstein Telescope

FIG. 2: Top left: The PSDs of the A, E, T channels and the ET noise. Top right/bottom left/bottom right: The PSD of the null channel T, the CSD of the T and $X/Y/Z$ channels, normalised such that it can serve as an estimate of $S_n^X/S_n^Y/S_n^Z$. The expected PSD of $X/Y/Z$, as shown in Eq. 13 and the estimated PSD as calculated in Eq. 15 and Eq. 16.

Recipe to transform the extended null channel formalism into a PSD estimation framework

MCMC for Einstein telescope in non-identical noise and correlation

- MCMC software to test different configuration of noise/correlation/SGWB
- Testing the different channel 'XYZ', 'AET', 'AET+TXTYTZ',...
- For now, we are testing ETD + correlate Gaussian peaks + SGWB (Toy-model)
- \bullet We have a large number of parameters (Toy model = 26)
- Statistical comparison use Deviance information criterion (DIC), Bayes Factor.

Analytic model for ET-D Ad Hoc

$$
S_{\rm ETD}(f) = A \exp{-\alpha f + \frac{A_1}{\sigma_1 f \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\log f - \log \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} + \frac{A_2}{\sigma_2 f \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\log f - \log \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{f}{f_{ref}} - 2n}} \left[Bf^{\beta} + Cf^{\gamma} + Df^{\delta} \right]}
$$

MCMC (Markov chain Monte Carlo)

Whittle likelihood $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$ with data $\mathcal{D} = (\tilde{d}(f_k))_M$

$$
\widetilde{d}(f_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_{Obs}}} \sum_{i=1}^{T} d(t) e^{-j t f_k}, \ f_k = 2\pi k/T
$$

$$
p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \prod_{k=0}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi \mathcal{C}(\theta, f_k))}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_k^{*T} \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\theta, f_k) \mathcal{D}_k}
$$

cross power spectral covariance matrix $\mathcal C$

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left[\mathcal{D}_k^{*T} \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\theta, f_k) \mathcal{D}_k + \det \left(2\pi \mathcal{C}(\theta, f_k) \right) \right]
$$

Case of XYZ channels :

$$
\mathcal{C}(\theta, f) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} S_{XX}(\theta, f) & S_{XY}(\theta, f) & S_{XZ}(\theta, f) \\ S_{YX}(\theta, f) & S_{YY}(\theta, f) & S_{YZ}(\theta, f) \\ S_{ZX}(\theta, f) & S_{ZY}(\theta, f) & S_{ZZ}(\theta, f) \end{array} \right)
$$

- Posterior distribution $p(\theta|d) \propto p(\theta)p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$
- Using uniform prior $p(\theta) = \prod U(\theta_i, a_i, b_i)$

● Estimate parameters :

 $\theta_{ET}+\theta_{10Hz}+\theta_{50Hz}+\theta_{90Hz}+\theta_{SGWB}$

$$
S_h(f) = A_{2/3} \left(\frac{f}{f_*}\right)^{\alpha_{2/3}}
$$

$$
S_{\mu}^{\text{GP}}(f) = \frac{A^2}{2\pi} e^{\frac{-(f - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}} = 5.35 \times 10^{-50} \left(\frac{f}{25 \text{Hz}}\right)^{-7/3}
$$

Case Study: ET Channel/sources and noise

- Possibility to update the MCMC soft with different scenarios ET, Gaussian peaks (GP), SGWB (isotropic ⅔ slope from CBC population)
- Comparison of the different channels to investigate the "best" configuration to separate the different components

Example : AET+TXYZ channel ETD+GPs+SGWB

Deviance Information Criterion (DIC)

- Analogous to Akaike information criterion and Bayesian information criterion : criterion for model comparison (BF not sensible for improper prior).
- It combines a measure of model fit with a penalty for the number of independent parameters.
- Easy to compute based on MCMC samples.

$$
DIC = D(\overline{\theta}) + 2p_d
$$

\n
$$
D(\theta) = -2 \log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{d}|\theta)
$$

\n
$$
p_d = \overline{D}(\theta) - D(\overline{\theta})
$$

\n
$$
\Delta DIC < 2 : \text{Not worth more than a bare mention}
$$

\n
$$
\Delta DIC \in [2, 10] : \text{positive}
$$

\n
$$
\Delta DIC > 10 : \text{very strong}
$$

Example of DIC result for SGWB in the context of ETD noise and Gaussian peaks

Uncertainty of the MCMC fitting

Conclusion

- We introduced an extension to the AET formalism where we take into account both non-identical as well as correlated noise.
- The correlation between <TX>, <TY> and <TZ> are valuable channels, free of signal, that could help to fit all the noise parameters and understanding the differences in PSD between the X, Y and Z channels.
- The coherence between the T and the A and E channels is an indicator of the non-identical behavior of the X, Y and Z channels.
- We have demonstrated the formalism in the case of the Einstein telescope for a simple toy example.
- We have developed a software to fit different scenarios ET, Gaussian peaks (GP), SGWB (isotropic ⅔ slope from CBC population) on different scenarios
- Next step: Update the code with NN and magnetic correlation
	- Magnetic : Use different coupling functions, Phys. Rev. D, 102:102005, Nov 2020.
	- NN : Consider different level of correlation in X, Y and Z (10%, 50% and 90%)