Neutrinos from binaries

A.M.Bykov, A.E.Petrov, M.E.Kalyashova (Ioffe), M.Falanga (ISSI), S.V.Trioitsky (INR)

Neutrino experiments. Galactic sources







Albert et al (2018): Galactic sources < 15% Aartsen et al. (2019): indications of Galactic sources Abbasi et al. (2021): explain tension between different measurements Neronov & Semikoz (2015) Galactic contribution

Credit: IceCube Collaboration, ANTARES collaboration



Binaries as gamma-ray and neutrino sources: microquasars and pulsars

PSR B1259-63 PSR J2032+4127 LSI 61 303

Distefano, Guetta, Waxman, Levinson '02 Bednarek 2005 Neronov & Ribordy 2008 Sahakyan, Piano3, Tavani 2014



Microquasars

Levinson & Waxman (2001) Distefano et al. (2002)

- Jet composition unknown. Pairs or e-p?
- Doppler-shifted Halpha may indicate e-p (SS 433)
- Neutrino may indicate e-p composition, if detected
- Internal shocks in jet: protons acceleration upto PeV!
- X-ray photons: disk and synchrotron
- Photomeson process: threshold at ~ 300 TeV for 1 keV photons
- Large optical depth for protons exceeding threshold ->
 - 1) efficient conversion to neutrions
 - 2) neutrino spectrum similar to proton
- May be detected by 1 km(2) telescopes...

Credit: Abeysekara et al. (2018)

Colliding wind binaries



System	Star spectral type	Compact object	Porb [days]	HE emission	VHE emission
PSR B1259-53	Be	48ms pulsar	1236.72	yes	yes
LS 5039	0	-	3.91	yes	yes
LS I +61 303	Be	-	26.49	yes	yes
HESS J0632+057	Be	-	315.50	yes	yes
FGL J1018.6-5856	ο	-	16.58	yes	yes
LMC P-3	о	-	10.2	yes	yes
PSR J2032+4127	Be	143 ms pulsar	50 years	yes	yes

From O.Blanch 2019

In the Cygnus region there are compact TeV sources

VERITAS spectra of some sources in Cygnus





VERITAS ICRC 17

VERITAS MAGIC maps of a crowded region in Cygnus TeV emission PSR J2032+4127/MT92 213 @ periastron



VERITAS & MAGIC teams The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 867:L19, 2018

VERITAS MAGIC at different orbital phases of PSR 2032



VERITAS & MAGIC teams The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 867:L19, 2018



SS 433

First microquasar Supercritical accretion ~ 10-4 MO/yr Orbital period 13d (precession 126d)

Mx/Mv > 0.6 black hole Mx ~ 8-10 MO + A supergiant (MNRAS 485, 2638, 2019) Jets 0.26 c, power ~ 10³⁹ эрг/с (baryonic?)



HE/VHE emission (Bordas 2015, HAWC 2018) L ~ 10³² erg/s

Possibly from jet-medium interaction, no VHE central source, photons upto 20 TeV...

Bordas 19





Leptonic scenario in Klein-Nishina regime?

Hard synchrotron spectra of LS 5039



Energy (keV)

Astron. Astroph. V.654, A127, 2021

Chandra XMM-Newton NuSTAR PSR 2032 (SED a week after periastron)



Ng + The Astrophysical Journal v.880, 147,2019

Note the very hard spectrum!!!

TeV gamma-ray source PSR B1259-63 – Be star



Aharonian et al. A&A 2005

HE flares from PSR B1259-63



- Unexpected flares observed at HE gamma-rays with LAT in 2010 Abdo+ 2011
- Flares starting 30 days (40 days) after t_p in 2010 and 2014 (in 2017), and lasting for more than 50 days (70 days in 2017)
- luminosities almost reaching L_γ ~ L_{sd} Abdo+ 2011, Caliandro+ 2015
- No counterpart at radio, X-rays or VHEs Chernyakova+ 2014



On the efficiency of PSR B1259–63 spin-down conversion in gamma-rays.

Observed fluxes (daily averaged) in 2014 periastron correspond to **isotropic** gamma-ray luminosity in Fermi band ~ 4.5 10^{35} erg s ⁻¹ , Meanwhile, spin-down power of the pulsar ~ 8.3 10^{35} erg s ⁻¹ Caliandro + Astrophys. J., v.811:68, 2015 Strong wind inhomogeneities (blobs) and PWN anisotropy can be important for understanding observed flux and variability of PSR B1259 across the spectrum



Multi-Wavelength Properties of the 2021 Periastron Passage of PSR B1259-63 Universe 2021, 7, 242.

Maria Chernyakova ^{1,2,*}, Denys Malyshev ³, Brian van Soelen ⁴, Shane O'Sullivan ¹, Charlotte Sobey ⁵, Sergey Tsygankov ^{6,7}, Samuel Mc Keague ¹, Jacob Green ¹, Matthew Kirwan ¹, Andrea Santangelo ³, Gerd Pühlhofer ³ and Itumeleng M. Monageng ^{8,9}

PeV gamma-ray & neutrino flares from binaries?

IceCube neutrino from Cygnus Cocoon



the first neutrino alert associated with a plausible Galactic source



GCN #28927

slide 21 of 17

Carpet-2: EAS+muon detector installation

@ Baksan Neutrino Observatory, INR RAS



- \checkmark surface scintillator detector
- ✓ 175 m² muon detector (E_{μ} >1 GeV)
- ✓ ~10 live years of data 1999-2011
- ✓ 2018-2020: "photon-friendly" trigger





GVD-80 // Sergey Troitsky GVD 2020

Carpet-2 search for E>300 TeV gamma candidates

Standard alert procedure:

(test fixed circle (6.15°) centered at the best-fit neutrino direction)

- ±1000 sec (just outside FOV this time)
- ±12 h (**no excess**) ATel #14237
- ±15 days (**2 events**) ATel #14255

Carpet-2 observation of two E>100 TeV photon-like events associated with the IceCube 201120A neutrino alert in the Cygnus Cocoon

[Previous | Next | ADS]

ATel #14255; D. Dzhappuev, A. Kudzhaev, V. Petkov, S. Troitsky on behalf of the Carpet-2 group (INR RAS) on 9 Dec 2020; 12:27 UT Credential Certification: Sergey Troitsky (st@ms2.inr.ac.ru)





Cygnus Cocoon test: 4.7° centered at the Fermi LAT Cygnus Cocoon center:

- Total 346 events
 - Best window width is 82 days
- 5 are "photon median candidates"
 - Best window width is 70 days

V.Romanenko, for the Carpet-3 Collaboration

The statistical significance of a flare

V.Romanenko, for the Carpet-3 Collaboration

Pre-trial probability:

- Full set, 5.5×10-4 (**3.67** σ)
- Photon candidate, 5.8×10-3 (**2.78** σ)

Post-trial probability:

- Full set, 3.7×10-3 (**3.17** σ)
- Photon candidate, 9.8×10-3 (**2.55** σ)

the line indicates the neutrino arrival time;

the line indicates the expectation for the constant arrival rate.



Spectral energy distribution of Cygnus Cocoon above 1 GeV.



Spectral energy distribution averaged over the same d = 82-day period around the neutrino arrival using publicly available data of the Fermi Large Area Telescope (Fermi-LAT)

V.Romanenko, for the Carpet-3 Collaboration

Time-averaged 4FGL flux model (Abdollahi et al. 2020) ARGO (Bartoli et al. 2014) HAWC (Abeysekara et al. 2021) Carpet-2, this work Flare Fermi LAT Carpet-2, this work Estimate of the IceCube neutrino fluence

Ι





```
Galactic binary PSR J2032+4127
At distance ~1.4 kpc, companion – Be star MT91 213 (B0Vp)
P ~50 yr (Ho et al. 2017).
spin-down power ~ 3 10^{35} erg s <sup>-1</sup> (Camilo et al. 2009).
Multichannel observations of PSR J2032+4127
In periastron 2017 Ng + (ApJ v.880, 147, 2019)
Chernyakova et al. (MNRAS v.495, 365, 2020).
```

If PSR J2032+4127 binary produces PeV photons (Carpet-2) and neutrino (IceCube) than very efficient mechanism should convert system power to PeV energies (i.e., produce very hard proton spectra) to explain simultaneously Data of VERITAS MAGIC and Carpet 2. Gamma-ray flare at energies above 300 TeV, duration about 2 months From the Cygnus Cocoon region was detected in 2020 by Carpet-2 (Dzhappuev + Ap.J Lett 916, L22, 2921). It is associated with contemporaneous 150 TeV IceCube (IceCube Collaboration 2020) neutrino. Isotropic gamma-ray luminosity ~ 2 10^{35} erg s⁻¹ (at 1.5 kpcs).







Bosch-Ramon Barkov Perucho A&A 577, A89 (2015)



The magnetic early B-type stars - III. A main-sequence magnetic, rotational, and magnetospheric biography

Shultz + MNRAS v.490, 247, 2019





Bosch-Ramon Barkov Perucho A&A 577, A89 (2015)

Shultz + MNRAS v.490, 247, 2019



Colliding wind flows acceleration- the most efficient Fermi I process (gives VERY hard spectra)

The maximum energies of protons accelerated by outflows with frozen-in magnetic fields of a kinetic/magnetic luminosity \mathcal{L}_K can be estimated from the equation:

$$E_{\rm max} \approx \frac{f(\beta_{\rm f})}{\Gamma_{\rm f}\Omega} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_K}{5 \times 10^{34} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}} \right)^{1/2} {\rm PeV},$$
 (1)

Hard synchrotron indexes of Geminga PWN: Chandra 0.5- 8 keV Photon indexes $\Gamma \sim 1$

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 835:66 (19pp), 2017 January 20







Posselt + Ap J, v.835:66, 2017



Particle spectra: Monte-Carlo in PWN geometry

Be star equatorial decretion disk

EQUATORIAL DISK FORMATION



$$\rho(r, z) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{r}{R_e}\right)^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2H^2}\right),$$
$$H(r) = H_0 \left(\frac{r}{R_e}\right)^{3/2},$$
where $H_0 = c_s v_{orb}^{-1} R_e$

e.g. Klement + A&A 601, A74, 2017



Bjorkman & Casinelli 93; Klement + 2017; Bogovalov + 2021



AB+ Astroph. J Lett., v. 921, id.L10, 2021



A convenient reference frame to discuss photonuclear interactions is the nuclear rest frame, in which the photon energy $\epsilon_r = E \varepsilon (1 - \cos \theta)/m_j$ depends on the energy of the relativistic nucleus E and the photon energy ε in the observer's or (cosmological) comoving frame. The pitch angle θ is the angle between incident photon and nucleus such that $\cos \theta = -1$ represents head-on collisions, and ϵ_r is related to the center-of-mass energy by $s = m_j^2 + 2m_j\epsilon_r$ where m_j is the mass of the nucleus. The photonuclear interaction rate and the interaction cross section σ are related as

$$\Gamma(E) = \int d\varepsilon \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{d\cos\theta}{2} (1 - \cos\theta) n_{\gamma}(\varepsilon, \cos\theta) \,\sigma(\epsilon_r), \qquad (2.1)$$

where n_{γ} is the photon number density and the rate is expressed in units of inverse length. Depending on the type of source or environment, the photon spectrum can extend from sub-eV up to TeV energies, and its shape can contain peaked (thermal) or power-law (non-thermal) components.

from Morejon + 2019



Pair production at photons collision

For estimates – cross-section maximum at: $E_{\gamma} \sim 10^{11} (E_{opt} / 5 \ B)^{-1}$

> Above 300 TeV Pair production cross-section is less, than photo-hadron quant production

> > from Dermer & Menon 2009



Thank you for your attention!

Acknowledge support PHΦ 21-72-20020