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## ROADMAP

- Interface to Pythia8 and MadAnalysis5
- COLLIER interface to MadLoop
- Loop-induced processes at NLO
- Automated mixed NLO QCD+EW
, SUSY @ NLO QCD, OS subtraction
- Ad: Plugins in MG5_aMC
- A particular take on long-term plans...


## PYTHIA8 INTERFACE

Pending publication (if ever :/): V.H., O. Mattelaer, S. Prestel
Pythia8 installation and use: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { MG5_aMC > install pythia8 } \\ \text { MG5_aMC > install mg5amc_py8_interface } \\ \text { Proc0uput > shower pythia8 run_01 } \\ {[\ldots] / P r o c 0 u p u t / C a r d s / p y t h i a 8 ~}\end{array}\right.$

- Supports CKKW-L for LO merging
- Merging systematics computed on-the-fly
- Parallelization of Pythia8 runs
- Merging systematics weights propagated through HEPMC event files
- Ability easily output HEPMC events to a FIFO file
- Do-it-all Pythia8 driver.

$$
\text { MLM p p > Z + \{0, I }\} j
$$

| Cross-section : 1535 +- 4.319 pb Nb of events : 10000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pythia8 merged cross-sections are: |  |  |  |
| > Merging scale = 10 | : 653.9 | +/- 1.7 | [pb] |
| > Merging scale $=20$ | : 698.42 | +/-1.7 | [pb] |
| > Merging scale $=30$ | : 712.55 | +/-1.7 | [pb] |
| > Merging scale $=40$ | : 709.02 | +/-1.7 | [pb] |
| > Merging scale = 50 | : 706.56 | +/-1.7 | [pb] |

- No excuse anymore for sticking to Pythia6!



## MADANALYSIS5 INTERFACE

V.H., B. Fuks

MA5 installation and use:

```
MG5_aMC > install madanalysis5 (no longer requires root)
```

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I m1. Choose the shower/hadronization program: | shower = 0FF |
| I $=$ 2. Choose the detector simulation program: | detector $=$ Not installed |
| I 3. Run an analysis package on the events generated: | analysis = MADANALYSIS_5 |
| 1.64. Decay particles with the MadSpin module: | madspin $=0 \mathrm{OFF}$ |
| I 5. Add weights to events for different model hypothesis: | reweight = OFF |

- Implemented both for LO and NLO matched.
- Independent control on parton-level, hadron-level and recasting analysis
- One can bypass HEPMC and do the analysis directly from FIFO files.
- Analysis cards automatically generated and tailored to the process of interest



## COLLIER

## COLLIER IN MADLOOP

COLLIER from A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, L. Hofer [arXiv:1604.06792]
Reminder: list of other loop reduction tool interfaced:
CutTools, PJFry++, IREGI, Golem95, Samurai, NINJA

Automatic COLLIER installation and use: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { MG5_aMC }>\text { install collier } \\ \text { \#MLReductionLib } \\ 6|7| 1\end{array}\right.$
COLLIER is a mature code, featuring the following improvements:

- Improved stability by expansions around zero-Grams.
- Fastest algo. and implementation of tensor integral reduction.
- Unlimited number of loop propagators and integrand rank.
- Ability to numerically handle logs from small masses.
- Ability to provide separately IR and UV pole residues.

COLLIER was helpful for the EFT Spin-2 NLO computations presented in:

> G. Das, C. Degrande, V.H., F. Maltoni, H-S Shao, [arXiv:1605.09359]

Interface validated, public and profiled too.

## COLLIER STABILITY

Related to V.H., T. Perraro [arXiv:1604.01363]


However still unclear how much more stable it is close to $I \mathbb{R}$ limits :
Probably little improvements, if any.
Quad. prec. still necessary

## Indeed the most stable option of all

How much so mostly depends on: multiplicity
loop numerator rank.


## COLLIER SPEED

Related to V.H., T. Perraro [arXiv:1604.01363]

| Add. scales and larg mult. | $g g \rightarrow t \bar{t}$ | $g g \rightarrow t \bar{t} g$ | $g g \rightarrow t \bar{t} g g$ | $u u \rightarrow t \bar{t} b \bar{b} d \bar{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max. loop num. rank | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Integrand computation time | 0.26 ms | 4.8 ms | 170 ms | 99 ms |
| NinJA reduction time | 0.40 ms | 5.3 ms | 78 ms | 104 ms |
| COLI and (DD) | 0.83 (0.72) | 13.6 (16.4) | 220 (322) | 1120 (N/A) |
| COLI, no global cache | 0.90 | 15.7 | 620 | 1656 |
| CutTools reduction time | 1.3 | 23.2 | 330 | 301 |
| COLLIER/ Ninja | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 10.8 |
| Saturated rank (LI) | $g g \rightarrow 2 \cdot Z$ | $g g \rightarrow 3 \cdot Z$ |  | $g g \rightarrow 4 \cdot Z$ |
| Max. loop num. rank | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
| Integrand computation time | 0.60 ms | 7.2 ms |  | 81 ms |
| NinJA reduction time | 1.6 ms | 21 ms |  | 310 ms |
| COLI and (DD) | 1.6 (1.6) | 25 (46) |  | 590 (661) |
| COLI, no global cache | 2.8 | 64 |  | 1820 |
| CutTools reduction time | 4.1 | 59 |  | 1080 |
| COLLIER/ Ninja | 1.0 | 1.2 |  | 1.9 |
| Eff. theory, Y $\equiv$ spin-2 | $g g \rightarrow Y g$ | $g g \rightarrow Y g g$ |  | $g g \rightarrow Y g g g$ |
| Max. loop num. rank | 5 | 6 |  | 7 |
| Integrand computation time | 2.2 ms | 33 ms |  | 1.4 s |
| Ninja reduction time | 1.5 ms | 20 ms |  | 0.32 s |
| COLI reduction time | 1.9 | 57 |  | 1.8 |
| COLI (no global cache) | 1.9 | 65 |  | 2.5 (2.6 no local) |
| COLLIER/ NinJA | 1.3 | 2.9 |  | 5.6 |

COLLIER provides its own stability test.
$\rightarrow$ Needs: COLLIER/NINJA > 2

For Ninja to really be faster in production. Integrand-level (Ninja) reduction faster for large multiplicities

Difference in speed marginal for most processes.
$\rightarrow$ \#MLReductionLib
6|7|1

## LOOP-INDUCED AT NLO

## LOOP-INDUCED AT NLO

Ongoing collaborative effort: V.H., O. Mattelaer, F. Maltoni, E.Vryonidou, N. Kauer, A. Shivaji, M.K.Mandal, ...
Two avenues for simulating LI at NLO in MG5aMC

- Reweighting approach with O. Mattelaer's module.
- Direct integration in MadFKS

Reweighting Pros and Cons:

- Easy implementation, development and public distribution
- Requires building an ad-hoc underlying model
- Never truly has systematics under control
- Potentially slower
- Color information corrupted (for matching)

Direct integration Pros and Cons:

- None of the above drawbacks
- Directly benefits from the virt-tricks, so potentially fast enough.
- Requires deep improvements in our existing integrator
- Feasibility study established.


## LOOP-INDUCED AT NLO

Feasibility study completed for diphoton decayed:

- 2-loop amplitudes from VV amp (A.Manteuffel, L.Tancredi [arXiv:1503.08835])
- Needed ad-hoc parallelization of MadFKS.
- Performed with ad-hoc linking/interface of 2-loop, Born and Reals MEs.
- Threshold for the distance to IR singularities where reals are replaced by local counterterms had to be increased by two 10 -folds.



13 TeV. Rescaled curves. K-factor ~ 2

- Flexible implementation of the of 2-loop helicity amplitudes in their covariant form as a UFO vertex.


## 2-Loop Hel. Amplitude as a UFO vertex



- Allows a tool like MG5_aMC to generate arbitrary 2-loop amplitudes containing this loop (with any decay or vector quantum numbers.)
- The above should be viewed as template for distributing two-loop computations analytical results. UFO extension?


## Mixed EW+QCD NLO COMPUTATIONS

## Structure of NLO EW-QCD corrections

The ttH case: S.Frixione, V.Hirschi, D. Pagani, H.-S. Shao, M. Zaro [arXiv:1504.03446]
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## Structure of NLO EW-QCD corrections



## What You See Is What You Get

```
MG5_aMC> define p = p b b~ a
MG5_aMC> generate p p > t t~ h [QCD QED]
MG5_aMC> output ttbarh_QCD_QED
MG5_aMC> launch
```



## What You See Is What You Get

```
MG5_aMC> define p = p b b~ a
MG5_aMC> generate p p > t t~ h [QCD QED]
MG5_aMC> output ttbarh_QCD_QED
MG5_aMC> launch
```



# COMPLETE DIJET QCD+EW NLO CORRECTIONS 

R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. H., D. Pagani, H-S.Shao M.Zaro [arXiv:1612.06548]


- All $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{m}, \alpha^{n}\right), m+n=2,3$ contributions to dijet. Use $G_{\mu}$-scheme
- This process involves the whole particle spectrum of the SM. Yes, even the Higgs!

- Use democratic jets and proposed a novel definition of (anti-)tagged photons
- Necessitated massive computing resources $O$ (weeks), 219 subprocesses
- Pheno conclusion: No significant Sudakov enhancement at LHCl 3 , even at high Pt.


## COMPLETE SUSY MODEL @ NLO QCD

## TOWARDS FULL MSSM@NLO

SUSY QCD for the QCD sector only is already available in
C. Degrande, B. Fuks, V. H., J. Proudom, H-S.Shao [arXiv:1510.00391]

- Gluinos pair production...

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SQCD}}= & D_{\mu} \tilde{q}_{L}^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \tilde{q}_{L}+D_{\mu} \tilde{q}_{R}^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \tilde{q}_{R}+\frac{i}{2} \overline{\tilde{g}} \not D \tilde{g} \\
& -m_{\tilde{q}_{L}}^{2} \tilde{q}_{L}^{\dagger} \tilde{q}_{L}-m_{\tilde{q}_{R}}^{2} \tilde{q}_{R}^{\dagger} \tilde{q}_{R}-\frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{\tilde{g}} \tilde{g} \\
& +\sqrt{2} g_{s}\left[-\tilde{q}_{L}^{\dagger} T\left(\overline{\tilde{g}} P_{L} q\right)+\left(\bar{q} P_{L} \tilde{g}\right) T \tilde{q}_{R}+\text { h.c. }\right] \\
& -\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{2}\left[\tilde{q}_{R}^{\dagger} T \tilde{q}_{R}-\tilde{q}_{L}^{\dagger} T \tilde{q}_{L}\right]\left[\tilde{q}_{R}^{\dagger} T \tilde{q}_{R}-\tilde{q}_{L}^{\dagger} T \tilde{q}_{L}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



- ... including the squark decay.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{\text {decay }}=\frac{i}{2} \bar{\chi} \not \phi^{\prime} \chi-\frac{1}{2} m_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \chi \\
& \quad+\sqrt{2} g^{\prime}\left[-\tilde{q}_{L}^{\dagger} Y_{q}\left(\bar{\chi} P_{L} q\right)+\left(\bar{q} P_{L} \chi\right) Y_{q} \tilde{q}_{R}+\text { h.c. }\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



Majorana flow, top quark mixing matrix renorm, SUSY restoring CT: Solved.

## COMPLETE SUSY MODEL FOR NLO QCD

- Requires improvements in NLOCT and further validation of the complex mass scheme.
- A key component here is Onshell-Subtraction (OS) in aMC@NLO, which is now available, and was introduced in
F. Demartin, B. Maier, F. Maltoni, K. Mawatari, M. Zaro [arXiv:1607.05862]



## ONSHELL SUBTRACTION FOR SUSY

Similar problem occurring in, e.g. $p p \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{g}$


## FUTURE PLANS

Structure developed by O.Mattelaer

## https://cp3.irmp.ucl.ac.be/projects/madgraph/wiki/Plugin

MG5_aMC is a framework to develop new ideas for HEP,
Let people implement those themeselves!
$\longrightarrow$ Ideal projects for students
$\longrightarrow$ Dev. and maintenance independent from MG5_aMC
$\longrightarrow$ Also authorship of PLUGINS are more properly credited.
$\longrightarrow$ Flexible: can implement highly complicated tasks: Ex: MadDM or shower evolution kernels generation

## Simplest plugin implementation:



## LONG TERM PLANS:

## FR + NLOCT

## MODEL

MG5 / MADLOOP
MATRix Element

MADEVENT / MADFKS
Partonic Events

HADRON LEVEL

PGS / DELPHES
DETECTOR LEVEL

## LONG TERM PLANS: MADEVENT7?

## Oldest and "weakest" link of the chain:

## FR + NLOCT

MG5 / MADLOOP

MADEVENT / MADFKS

PYTHIA / HERWIG

PGS / DELPHES
Detector level

## OBJECTIVES FOR MADEVENT7

- Insist on modularity. Independent building blocks:


## Integrator

Phase-space sampler
Integrand(s)

## Matrix elements Observable operator Mappings

Subtraction counterterms ... (structurally similar to sherpa)

- Organized so as to offer arbitrarily scalable parallelization and MPI-support.
- Implement various grid update strategies. Maybe account for correlations between a couple of dimensions. Implement better integrators for low dims.
- More generic support of various topologies:
t-channel enhancement, n-point interactions, etc...
- More systematic handling of zero contributions and numerical instabilities
- Offer a highly abstract integration framework to support the intricate bookkeeping of higher-order computations
- Keep RAM, disk-space and generation time under control.


## OBJECTIVES FOR MADEVENT7

- Advanced profiling and real-time monitoring of the integration.
- Adaptative Multi-channeling weights.
- Grids pre-training on cuts.
- Easy implementation of on-the-fly reweighting / bias. Need a streamlined interface to other tools for these weights. Multi-loops libs, showers, etc...
- Would probably be full-fledged python, with the couple of time-consuming bits via C++/fortran imports and/or Numpy.

MadEvent and MadFKS current structures are a hinderance to many current projects and will be even more so in future ones.

We need to seriously discuss about their successor.

## I NOW WELCOME YOUR COMMENTS

