Towards a new approach for stop quark searches

Petra Van Mulders Lieselotte Moreels & Thomas Fitoussi

Work in progress!

ttbar is the main background for stop quark searches



 $pp \to \tilde{t}\tilde{t}^* \to t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to b\bar{b}W^+W^-\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

 χ^0 is the stable lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) \rightarrow missing transverse energy (MET)

ttbar:

- less missing transverse energy
- more boosted

Typically stop searches use MET, H_T , angles between objects, ...

ttbar is the main background for stop quark searches

 P_{2}

 \tilde{t}

Matrixel

→ missing transverse energy (MET)

 ${
m p}
ightarrow {
m t} extsf{f}^*$ ${
m J} {
m W}^+ {
m W}^- {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {
m } {$

ttbar:

less missing transverse energy

more boosted

Typically analyses use MET, H_T , angles between objects, ...

Case study on muon+jets+MET decay channel with sqrt(s) = 8 TeV

generate $p p > t t \sim , t > b mu + vm , t \sim > b \sim j j$



generate p p > t1 t1~/ sch sq , t1 > b mu+ vm n1 / sch sq , t1~ > b~ jj n1 / sch sq \rightarrow exclude diagrams involving charginos (sch) and other squarks (sq)!



The event selection reduces non-ttbar backgrounds to a small fraction



- =1 isolated muon: $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.1$
- \geq 4 jets: 2 b-tagged p_T > 30 GeV, $|\eta|$ <2.4
- MET > 70 GeV
- H_T > 250 GeV

 \rightarrow S/B ~ 260/19000 (1.4%)

B = 94% ttbar + 6% other (mainly W+jets and single top)



Reduce the number of jet-parton assignments from 24 to 2





Transfer function for jet energies

from ttbar events using matched jets



- Different for b jets and non-b jets
- Double gaussian with 6 parameters p_i

 $p_3 \exp[-(\Delta E - p_1)^2/(2p_2^2)] + p_6 \exp[-(\Delta E - p_4)^2/(2p_5^2)]$

• Parametrized as a function of E_{parton}:

$$P_{i,0} + P_{i,1} \text{sqrt}(E_{parton}) + P_{i,2} E_{parton}$$

- \rightarrow 18 (6*3) parameters
- Assume angles and muon energy perfectly measured



Calculate the probability for signal (stops) and background (ttbar)

For each event, compute the probability (MadWeight) that it is a:

- ttbar event (i.e. background "B") \rightarrow P(B)
- stop quark event (i.e. signal "S") \rightarrow P(S)

$$\mathcal{P}(p^{obs}|\vec{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\vec{\theta})} \int dp^{true} |M(\vec{\theta}, p^{true})|^2 W(p^{true}, p^{obs})$$

P(B) and P(S) are obtained for the 2 jet-parton assignments \rightarrow take the average

 \rightarrow ultimately obtain for each event:

$$LR = rac{\mathcal{P}(S)}{\mathcal{P}(S) + \mathcal{P}(B)}$$

The probability for signal (stops) and background (ttbar)





The discriminator distribution



Provides a first idea of the sensitivity

The transformed discriminator has more sensitivity



Provides a first idea of the sensitivity

Simple analysis using HT+MET



Provides a first idea of the sensitivity



The matrix element method clearly has a lot of potential in this search



The "quick" case study is promising

The first study is promising: factor of 3 improvement (madweight versus 'simple' H_T +MET)

Time for the real work & detailed studies:

- Closer look at transfer functions:
 η-dependent, less parameters, also muon energy
- Further reduce the cpu time (~7 minutes/event ?)
- Permutations now done 'by hand'
- Normalization factor → interpret weights as probabilities
- What is required to run MadWeight on the grid?

Real experimental analysis:

- Perform a scan on (m_{stop}, m_{γ^0})
- Include systematics

```
• ...
```

Additional material

Ultimately: search simultaneously for 2 signal decay channels with MEM!



The "probability" for signal (stops) and background (ttbar)



The parameter space



The CMS official exclusion limit

