

ME+PS MERGING AT NLO

Rikkert Frederix University of Zurich

MadGraph meeting 2012, Natal Brazil, Sep. 30 - Oct. 8, 2012



GENERAL IDEA

- Merge aMC@NLO samples for S+0j, S+1j, S+2j, S+...j consistently without double counting (where S can be a Higgs, a ttbar pair, a W-boson, di-jet, etc.)
- Subset the sector of the se
 - In such a way that the exclusive samples can simply be combined to one big event sample
- Special care for the highest multiplicity sample



A BIT MORE DETAIL

To make a LO prediction exclusive in the number of jets, we need to multiply it by a Sudakov damping factor; this is MLM or CKKW:

$$\sigma_{n, \text{ excl}}^{\text{LO}} = B \Theta(Q_n - Q_{\text{cut}}) \Delta_n(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}})$$

This gives makes the prediction exclusive at leading logarithmic accuracy Similarly we can make an NLO prediction exclusive at leading logarithm

$$\sigma_{n, \text{ excl, LL}}^{\text{NLO}} = \left\{ B + V + \int d\Phi_1 R \right\} \Theta(Q_n - Q_{\text{cut}}) \Delta_n(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}})$$

We can improve here and use the real-emission matrix elements instead of just the Sudakov:

$$\sigma_{n, \text{ excl}}^{\text{NLO}} = \left\{ B + V + \int_{0}^{Q_{\text{cut}}} d\Phi_1 R - B\Delta_n^{(1)}(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}}) \right\}$$
$$\Theta(Q_n - Q_{\text{cut}}) \Delta_n(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}})$$



EXCLUSIVE MC@NLO

Exclusive (in the number of jets) predictions within the MC@NLO procedure

S-events:
$$\begin{cases} B + V - B\Delta_n^{(1)}(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}}^B) + \int_0^{Q_{\text{cut}}} d\Phi_1 \text{ MC} \\ \Theta(Q_n^B - Q_{\text{cut}}) \Delta_n(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}}^B) \end{cases}$$

$$\Theta(Q_n^B - Q_{\text{cut}}) \Delta_n(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}}^B)$$

$$\mathbb{H}\text{-events:} \quad \left\{ R \Theta(Q_n^R - Q_{\text{cut}}) - \text{MC} \Theta(Q_n^B - Q_{\text{cut}}) \right\} \Theta(Q_{\text{cut}} - Q_{n+1}^R) \Delta_n(Q_{\text{cut}}, Q_{\text{max}}^R) \end{cases}$$

That doesn't look very hard... Why did it took you so long to have this working?



THE DEVIL IS IN THE DETAILS...

- What to choose for the renormalization scale (it does not only enter as argument of the strong coupling at NLO)?
- What to choose for the factorization scale (it does not only enter in the PDFs at NLO)?
- What to do for the PDF reweighting (NLO PDF counter terms)?
- What to choose for the starting scales of the parton shower?
- # How to apply the Sudakov suppression (MLM or CKKW)?
- # How to treat the extra parton in the real-emission? Do we need a Sudakov?
- What to do with the matching scale (fixed or a smooth function)?

▒ ...



THE DEVIL IS IN THE DETAILS...

- What to choose for the renormalization scale (it does not only enter as argument of the strong coupling at NLO)?
- What to choose for the factorization scale (it does not only enter in the PDFs at NLO)?
- What to do for the PDF reweighting (NLO PDF counter terms)?
- What to choose for the starting scales of the parton shower?
- # How to apply the Sudakov suppression (MLM or CKKW)?
- # How to treat the extra parton in the real-emission? Do we need a Sudakov?
- What to do with the matching scale (fixed or a smooth function)?
- * ... A lot of "freedom"; we have found a way that seems to be working



SOME RESULTS: HIGGS



- Transverse momentum of the Higgs and of the 1st jet.
- Agreement with H+0j at MC@NLO and H+1j at MC@NLO in their respective regions of phase-space; Smooth matching in between; Small dependence on matching scale
- Alpgen shows larger kinks



SOME RESULTS: HIGGS



Differential jet rates for 1->0 and 2->1



Some results: Higgs

RF & Frixione, 2012



- Differential jet rates
- Matching up to 2 jets at NLO
- Results very much consistent with matching up to 1 jet at NLO



SOME RESULTS: W-BOSON RF & Frixione, 2012



Similar results for W-boson production **



SOME RESULTS: TTBAR



- * and top pair production
- Only for VERY large scales tt+1j at MC@NLO is larger than than tt+0j at MC@NLO

WHAT STILL NEEDS TO BE DONE



- * Automation: so far, applying the Sudakov factors was done in a nonautomatic way
 - Can we take the CKKW/MLM cluster.f and reweight.f that is already there for the LO and port it to the NLO code?
 - Discussion with Johan already started
 - Some difficulties, because at NLO there are also the real-emission matrix elements that are clustered in a different way (in the realemission one step needs to be skipped; not all information is readily available)
- Processes with jets at the lowest multiplicity Born (e.g. t-channel single top): is there anything special needed?



PHYSICS APPLICATIONS

- Most interesting right now might be Higgs production by VBF and VBF+1j, to be able to study in detail the uncertainties in the central jet veto:
 - $\ensuremath{\circledast}$ how well are they modeled at LO and
 - * what are the uncertainties coming from the matching
- Extension to include b quarks (Wbb and Wbb+1j)
- # Higgs production by gluon fusion and NLO spin correlations (spin-2 Higgs)
- And many, many more...